



**ARIAN SILVER CORPORATION**

**ANNUAL REPORT AND ACCOUNTS 2008**

## COMPANY INFORMATION

### DIRECTORS

Anthony (Tony) J. Williams, *Chairman and Director*  
James (Jim) T. Williams, *Chief Executive Officer and Director*  
Thomas A. Bailey, *Director*  
James S. Cable, *Director*  
James A. Crombie, *Director*

### COMPANY SECRETARY AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Graham A. Potts

### HEAD OFFICE

Carlyle House  
235-237 Vauxhall Bridge Road  
London, SW1V 1EJ  
United Kingdom  
Telephone: +44 (0) 20 7963 8670  
Fax: +44 (0) 20 7963 8674

### REGISTERED OFFICE

Palm Grove House  
P.O. Box 3190  
Road Town, Tortola  
British Virgin Islands

### NOMINATED ADVISER

Grant Thornton UK LLP  
30 Finsbury Square  
London, EC2P 2YU

### BROKER

Haywood Securities (UK) Ltd  
Ryder Court  
14 Ryder Street  
London, SW1Y 6QB

### AUDITORS

PKF (UK) LLP  
Farringdon Place  
20 Farringdon Road  
London, EC1M 3AP

### REGISTRAR

Computershare Investor Services  
100 University Avenue  
Toronto, Ontario, M5J 2Y1  
Canada

### STOCK EXCHANGES

AIM Market of the London Stock Exchange  
TSX Venture Exchange  
Frankfurt Stock Exchange

### TRADING SYMBOLS

AIM: AGQ (stock is quoted in Pounds Sterling)  
TSX-V: AGQ (stock is quoted in Canadian Dollars)  
Frankfurt: I3A (stock is quoted in Euros)

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Chairman’s and Chief Executive’s Statement**

The year under review saw a severe deterioration in global financial conditions with no regard for international boundaries or of company fundamentals. Nevertheless despite this global ‘perfect storm’ scenario, which saw all commodity prices tumble and investor confidence in the mining sector generally, and the junior company sector in particular, all but disappear, your Company has achieved some significant successes during the reporting period. These achievements provide a platform for renewed growth when confidence returns.

As detailed elsewhere in this report major drilling programmes were completed at the San Jose and Tepal projects in Mexico, enabling Canadian National Instrument 43-101 mineral resource estimates to be announced at both projects.

At San Jose we have already outlined combined indicated and inferred silver resources of over 40 million ounces with associated lead and zinc. We believe there is significant potential to expand this resource and further work will continue in 2009 subject to the availability of adequate finance. An independent study, based on the positive results of our in-house study, for production start up is underway. We now have secured a 66.67% controlling interest in the project and plan to go to 100% by year end, subject to financing.

At Tepal the resource (indicated and inferred) is now confirmed at over 1 million ounces of gold and more than 400 million pounds of copper. However, in order to preserve funds we intend to focus this year on San Jose and are examining other ways to create value for shareholders at Tepal.

At our other main project, Calicanto, the 2007 drill programme confirmed the presence of vein systems containing numerous bonanza grade silver intersections (+1000 grams/tonne). Work in 2008 concentrated on mapping and sampling to better understand structural controls on mineralisation so as to optimise the next phase of drilling as and when funding permits.

During the year we raised approximately Cdn\$4.75 million via two private placements which was applied to advance our San Jose and Tepal projects as previously outlined. However as we write, the market for further funding for junior exploration and development companies is still very weak. Our transaction with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd (“Grafton”) which is well documented elsewhere in this report, we believe, represents an innovative opportunity to secure the Company’s finances in these ongoing difficult market conditions. We are working with Grafton to secure this funding in the near future and will report to shareholders in due course.

During the latter half of 2008 as the scale and extent of the global financial turmoil became apparent, we cut back drastically on expenditure in Mexico and the UK. We will continue with this cautious approach until we are convinced that reasonable investor confidence in our sector is returning. We remain optimistic about the quality of our assets, particularly at San Jose.

Although the recent renewed strength in the silver price has been supported by a weakening US Dollar, we believe that the medium term prospects for silver prices remain positive. If global industrial demand for silver picks up this will add support to an already robust investment demand from which silver prices should benefit. Demand for silver

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Chairman’s and Chief Executive’s Statement**

bullion from Exchange Traded Funds (which issue securities backed by physical stocks of silver bullion) is also strong and has broadened the retail investor base for silver. We believe that this investment interest in silver coupled with the quality of our assets should prove beneficial to our share price in due course.

Finally, our thanks go to all who have contributed this year and to our shareholders for their continued support in these difficult times.

**Tony Williams**

Executive Chairman

**Jim Williams**

Chief Executive Officer

*May 2009*

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

*This Management Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) has been prepared based on information available to Arian Silver Corporation (“Arian” or the “Company”) as at 27 April 2009 and compares its 2008 financial results with the previous year. This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes. The audited Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. All dollar amounts referred to in this discussion and analysis are expressed in United States dollars, unless specifically stated otherwise.*

---

### **MANAGEMENT’S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CONTROLS**

The audited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company for the financial year ended 31 December, 2008 and the comparative amounts for 2007 have been prepared by management of the Company (“Management”) in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) and have been approved by the Company’s Board of Directors (the “Board”). The integrity and objectivity of these audited Consolidated Financial Statements are the responsibility of Management. In addition, Management is responsible for ensuring that the information contained in this MD&A is consistent, where appropriate, with the information contained in the audited Consolidated Financial Statements.

In support of this responsibility, Management maintains a system of internal accounting and administrative controls to provide reasonable assurance that the financial information is relevant, reliable and accurate and that the Company’s assets are appropriately accounted for and adequately safeguarded. When alternative accounting methods exist, Management has chosen those methods it deems most appropriate in the circumstances. The audited Consolidated Financial Statements may contain certain amounts based on estimates and judgments. Management has determined such amounts on a reasonable basis to ensure that the audited Consolidated Financial Statements are presented fairly in all material respects.

The Board is responsible for ensuring that Management fulfils its responsibilities for financial reporting and internal controls. The Board carries out this responsibility principally through its audit committee. The audit committee is appointed by the Board and its members are not involved in the Company’s daily operations. The audit committee meets periodically with Management and the external auditor to discuss internal controls over the financial reporting process, auditing matters and financial reporting issues, to satisfy itself that each party is properly discharging its responsibilities and to review the audited Consolidated Financial Statements with the external auditors.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The following discussion is Management’s assessment and analysis of the results and financial condition of the Company and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying audited Consolidated Financial Statements for the financial years ended 31 December, 2008, and 2007, which are available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com).

The Company is engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral resource properties.

The Company’s common shares are listed for trading on the AIM Market of the London Stock Exchange, on the TSX Venture Exchange and on the Frankfurt Stock Exchange.

### **OVERVIEW**

#### ***Financial***

In December, 2008 the Company announced that given the turmoil in financial markets the Board had implemented measures to preserve the cash resources of the Company (see the Company’s Q3 results press release dated 1 December 2008). To this end planned exploration programmes

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

had been deferred and those in progress largely curtailed; in addition, steps were being taken to reduce overheads generally.

In addition, the directors continued to investigate potential sources of funding which may be available so as to enable the Company to continue to build on the exploration successes achieved to-date and to further the development of the Company and its mineral properties.

During Q1 of 2009 the Company announced details of a two-part share exchange transaction with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd (“Grafton”) which is designed to provide new funding (See ***Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern***). On 17 April 2009 the Company announced the final closing of the transaction with Grafton as a result of which the Company has issued in aggregate 109,090,909 common shares to Grafton, for a value of Cdn\$6 million, giving it an interest in the outstanding common shares of the Company of approximately 42.2%. In exchange for these shares, the Company received 128,591 Grafton participating shares (the “Grafton Shares”) equivalent to the same dollar value. It is intended that the Grafton shares will be placed with third parties to raise cash principally for Arian’s San Jose Project as well as for general working capital. It is anticipated that the placing of the Grafton Shares will take place in the near future following the listing of Grafton on the Dublin Stock Exchange. The principals of Grafton and its associates have experience in providing funding for junior mining and exploration companies using this particular type of share exchange and disposal mechanism and will facilitate and promote this fundraising process. However, the Company is reliant on Grafton being able to replicate this experience to provide the significant new funding sought by the Company by this transaction and on a timely basis. Grafton also has the right to nominate a director to the Board of Directors of the Company and such appointment is pending at the date hereof.

In March 2009 Grafton advanced \$300,000 to the Company for working capital purposes to enable the Company to pay an option instalment of approximately \$250,000 due that month in relation to the San Jose Project. Grafton has agreed to make available a further advance of \$250,000 in early May to the Company for working capital purposes.

On 21 April 2009 the Company announced that the holders of the outstanding share purchase warrants had approved proposals to vary the terms of the warrants (See ***Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern***).

Based on current expectations, the directors of the Company currently believe it appropriate to prepare the Company’s financial statements on a going concern basis. However, if funding from the transaction with Grafton does not meet current expectations in terms of amount raised and timing the Company may not be able to meet its ongoing working capital and project expenditure requirements. If these circumstances arose then there would be significant doubt on the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern and the carrying value of the Group’s exploration projects would be impaired (See ***Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern***).

### ***Operations***

Following payment of the March 2009 option instalment, the Company renegotiated the terms of the San Jose Project option agreement such that Arian’s interest has been converted to an ownership interest in the San Jose Project. Subject to completion of legal and registration formalities Arian will own a 66.67% controlling interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions with the right to take 100% ownership on payment of the final instalment of \$500,000 which is due in December 2009. Under the original terms of the option agreement Arian would have only obtained an ownership interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions on completion of all option payments and would have forfeited all rights in the event of failure to pay any instalment.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

During 2008, the Phase-1 drill programmes were completed on both the Tepal and San Jose Projects; drilling at San Jose amounted to 11,722 metres (“m”) and 7,178m at Tepal. A Phase-2 drill programme was started at San Jose during Q2 and was terminated in Q3, with approximately 6,600m drilled in 38 holes, in line with the decision to defer costs of planned exploration programmes. Assaying of core from the San Jose Phase-2 drilling programme was terminated in Q4, also to save costs.

At the Calicanto Project work focussed on general security and fences were erected around all open shafts and mine workings. Work also continued with both surface and underground sampling and mapping to gain a better understanding of the structural controls on mineralisation within the Calicanto Project area.

The Company reported its initial Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”) resource estimates for both San Jose and Tepal during Q1 of 2008. These were prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited, independent consultants. In August, 2008 the Company announced an updated NI 43-101 mineral resource estimate in respect of San Jose (see the Company’s press release dated 21 August, 2008 entitled “Arian Silver Upgrades San Jose Resource Estimate”), details of which are set out in the Review of Operations below. In September, 2008 the Company announced an updated NI 43-101 mineral resource estimate in respect of Tepal (see the Company’s press release dated 24 September, 2008 entitled “Arian Silver Upgrades Tepal Resource Estimate”), details of which are set out in the Review of Operations below.

In relation to San Jose, the Company completed an in-house scoping study that evaluated the economics of contract mining and custom milling of seven near surface resource blocks. The Company has commissioned A.C.A. Howe International Limited to carry out an NI 43-101 independent study in view of the positive results from the in-house scoping study (*See **Review of Operation – San Jose Project***).

As mentioned above, funding arising from the sale of the Grafton Shares is principally intended for the San Jose Project and general working capital. Notwithstanding this, the Tepal and Calicanto projects remain important assets of the Company and future expenditure on these projects will be kept under review and will be dependent on the timing and availability of funds.

### **OVERALL FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE**

In the financial year ended 31 December, 2008, the Company incurred a loss of \$3.7 million (2007 - \$4.9 million) which loss includes expensing the fair value of options vesting of \$0.5 million (2007 - \$1.1 million), and other administrative expenses of \$3.2 million (2007 - \$3.9 million). There was no income other than interest from short term cash deposits of \$31,000 (2007 - \$62,000). The Company continued to incur costs in relation to its Mexican operations and in respect of corporate overheads.

During the 2008 financial year, foreign exchange adjustments were reported in Q1 (gain \$79,000), Q2 (gain \$281,000) and Q3 (loss \$576,000) in administration expenses. These adjustments arose largely as a result of the translation of the Mexican subsidiary’s financial statements from Mexican Pesos to US Dollars and reflected the volatility in currency markets. During Q4 there was a further material decline in the Mexican Peso against the US Dollar which resulted in a further significant foreign exchange loss. In view of the volatility in foreign exchange markets and the consequent impact this has had on reported operating results, the presentation of intra-group foreign exchange differences in the Consolidated Income Statement has been reviewed. It has been concluded that it would be more appropriate to recognise such adjustment in the Consolidated Statement of Recognised Income and Expense. This change has been implemented for reporting the audited results for the financial year ended 31 December 2008 and such treatment will continue going forward. This change does not constitute a change of the

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

Company's foreign exchange accounting policy. The loss for 2007 of \$4.9 million, referred to above, included a foreign exchange gain of \$0.2 million.

As at 31 December, 2008, intangible assets amounted to \$6.0 million (2007 - \$4.4 million). The change during the year reflects additions of \$2.6 million, a foreign exchange loss of \$0.9 million and a \$0.1 million writedown. These costs arise in respect of deferred exploration and evaluation costs related to the Mexican projects.

Cash of \$3.6 million was received as a result of private placements during the year.

### SELECTED ANNUAL INFORMATION

	2008	2007	2006
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total Revenues	31	62	71
Net loss before exceptional items	3,720	4,955	3,653
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.03)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.05)
Net loss for the period	3,689	4,893	17,033
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.03)	\$(0.05)	\$(0.24)
Total assets	7,551	8,436	4,802
Shareholders' equity	7,296	8,100	4,423
Cash dividend declared per share	-	-	-

Total revenues are from bank deposit interest. Over the last three years this amount has varied in line with the cash balances held by the Company.

The net loss before exceptional items increased from \$3.6 million to \$5.0 million from 2006 to 2007 mainly due to increased manpower and corporate overheads in Mexico. The net loss decreased from \$5.0 million to \$3.7 million from 2007 to 2008 mainly due to a significant reduction in most areas of administrative expenses, particularly in the second half of 2008. In 2006, an exceptional item of \$13.4 million was written off in respect of goodwill arising that year in relation to the merger of the Company with Arian Silver Corporation Limited.

Total assets continued to grow over the past three years, after adjusting for foreign exchange mainly due to investment in the projects in Mexico. Total assets increased by \$3.6 million, from \$4.8 million in 2006 to \$8.4 million in 2007, \$3.2m of which relates to further investment in exploration projects in Mexico. The remaining \$0.4 million relates to IVA (sales tax) recoverable from the Mexican authorities. Total assets reduced by \$0.8 million from \$8.4 million in 2007 to \$7.6 million in 2008. This is mainly due to a reduction in cash of \$2.4 million, offset by an investment in exploration projects of \$2.5 million after adjusting for a foreign exchange difference of \$1.0 million on total assets.

Shareholders' equity increased by \$3.7 million from \$4.4 million to \$8.1m from 2006 to 2007 mainly due to a \$7.5 million increase in share capital from share issues, \$1.1 million relating to the issue of stock options, offset by a \$4.9 million loss for the year. Shareholders' equity decreased by \$0.8 million, from \$8.1 million in 2007 to \$7.3 million in 2008, comprising a \$3.4 million increase in share capital, \$0.5 million relating to the issue of stock options, offset by a charge of \$1.0 million to foreign exchange reserve and a \$3.7 million loss for year.



## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

### REVIEW OF OPERATIONS

The Company owns, or has rights or options to purchase, 39 mineral concessions in Mexico totalling 21,691 hectares (Ha). Table 1 below shows the current property portfolio of the Company.

TABLE 1  
Property Summary

Project Name	No. Concessions	Size (Ha)
San Jose	11	6,279.5
Tepal	6	13,843.2
Calicanto	7	75.5
Others	15	1,492.8

#### **Qualified Person**

Mr. Jim Williams, Eur Ing, Eur Geol, BSc, MSc, D.I.C., FIMMM, the Chief Executive Officer of Arian, a "Qualified Person" as defined in the AIM guidelines of the London Stock Exchange, and a "Qualified Person" as such term is defined in NI 43-101, has reviewed and approved the technical information in this document other than the mineral resource estimates.

#### **San Jose Project, Ojocaliente District, Zacatecas State**

In December 2006 the Company entered into an option agreement to acquire 100% of the property. The option agreement required the Company to pay to the vendor \$1.5 million in instalments over three years. In addition, the option agreement provided for the Company to pay a 2% Net Smelter Return ("NSR") with a right of first refusal to buy out the NSR for \$1.5 million at any time during the option period. In March 2009, the terms of the option agreement were renegotiated such that Arian's interest has been converted to an ownership interest in the San Jose Project. Subject to completion of legal and registration formalities Arian will own a 66.67% controlling interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions with the right to take 100% ownership on payment of the final instalment of \$500,000 which is due in December 2009. Under the original terms of the option agreement Arian would only obtain an ownership interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions on completion of all option payments and would have forfeited all rights in the event of failure to pay any instalment.

The property lies 55 kilometres to the South-East of Zacatecas and covers 11 mining concessions totalling approximately 6,300Ha. The property has significant infrastructure, including a 4 x 4 metre ramp, which extends for nearly 3km along the footwall of the San José Vein ("SJV") system, and a 350m deep, 500 tonne per day ("tpd"), vertical shaft with hoist.

The mine was previously operated by Zimapan (Peñoles), (1973-1991), and Desarrollo Monarca, (1993-2001), extracting over 2 million tonnes of ore averaging 250g/t silver.

A Phase-1 drilling programme, which totalled 11,722m, was completed during Q1 of 2008. The drill programme had been designed to confirm the historic drill-hole data and to expand on a number of priority target areas. The drill programme achieved all its goals with 65 of the 70 holes intersecting significant silver and base metal mineralisation. The resource zones appear to be open along strike and down-dip. The Phase-1 drill programme explored, in detail, approximately 2.5km in total of the SJV, which is a relatively small portion of the estimated total strike length within the Company's concessions of over 12km.

In light of the promising results from Phase-1, a Phase-2 drill programme was commenced during Q2 of 2008. This programme was designed to test the SJV strike further to the west and for infill

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

drilling on 50m or less intervals to gain additional information about metal distribution and controls on mineralisation that can be used to upgrade existing resources. Drilling was terminated in Q3 with approximately 6,600m completed in 38 holes. The majority of these drill holes were collared within the already demarcated resource areas with the intention of increasing the resource estimate category. Some of the drill holes were collared to intercept parallel and sub-parallel vein structures of the SJV. Assaying of the core has continued and in November, 2008 the Company announced the results from the first 19 drill holes from the Phase-2 drill programme (see the Company's press release dated 6 November, 2008 entitled "Arian Silver Reports Phase-2 Assays on Initial 19 Holes at San Jose"). The results continued to confirm the high-grade zones discovered in the Phase-1 drill programme and show that the high-grade zones are even more extensive. In addition, several drill holes intersected newly discovered high-grade silver mineralisation. The November 2008 results included:

- 2m @ 915 g/t Silver (Ag), 0.54% Lead (Pb) and 0.46% Zinc (Zn)
- 3m @ 319 g/t Ag, 0.32% Pb and 0.62% Zn
- 6m @ 223 g/t Ag
- 2.1m @ 775 g/t Ag
- 3.6m @ 253 g/t Ag, 0.58% Pb, 1.07% Zn

Exploration has also included detailed surveying, mapping (both surface and underground) and sampling (both surface and underground) to follow up on a preliminary detailed underground surveying programme which was completed during the reporting period using a remote controlled laser-mounted tracked robot. The surface and underground sampling work has been instrumental in locating and confirming the extent of the main SJV and the mineralisation associated with it. The Company has excavated over 100 trenches on the San Jose property during the reporting period. These trenches have been excavated on the SJV, other vein systems on the property and within the brecciated stockwork-style mineralisation. The trenches have been mapped, sampled and surveyed.

In August, 2008 the Company announced an updated NI 43-101 mineral resource estimate for the property (see the Company's press release dated 21 August, 2008 entitled "Arian Silver Upgrades San Jose Resource Estimate") details of which are set out below. Compared to the initial mineral resource estimate released in March 2008, the mineral resource estimate has increased as follows:-

- 59% increase in tonnage since March 2008 mineral resource estimate
  - 55% increase in silver to 42.78 M oz
  - 85% increase in lead to 120.4 M lbs
  - 69% increase in zinc to 247.8 M lbs
- 16% of resources placed into the "indicated" category from the previously defined "inferred" mineral resource category

### NI 43-101 Resources at San Jose

Resource Category	Tonnes	Grade			Contained Metal		
		Ag g/t	Pb %	Zn %	Ag (Moz)	Pb (t)	Zn (t)
Indicated	2,196,000	127.7	0.51	0.88	9.02	11,200	19,200
Inferred	11,190,000	93.8	0.39	0.83	33.76	43,400	93,200

1. Geological characteristics and +30 ppm grade envelopes used to define resource volumes
2. The mineral resource estimates are in accordance with CIM and JORC standards
3. The effective date of the mineral resource estimates is 15 August, 2008
4. The estimates are based on geostatistical data assessment and computerised IDW<sup>3</sup>, Ag grade wireframe restricted, linear block modelling.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

The “Qualified Person” as such term is defined in NI 43-101 who prepared the above mineral resource estimates is Mr. Galen R White. Mr White was at the time these estimates were prepared an employee of A.C.A. Howe International Limited.

An in-house scoping study (also referred to as a preliminary assessment) was completed in January 2009 and evaluated the economics for contract mining and custom milling of seven near surface resource blocks that have been identified as having potential for near term contract mining. These blocks are both remnant and adjacent stoping blocks within the existing workings of the former producing San Jose Mine. The study was designed to identify key requirements for the partial rehabilitation of underground access, new development, the provision of mine services to the potential exploitation sites, as well as addressing estimated capital and operating costs. Initial studies indicate support for a contract mining operation of up to 500 tpd. The results of the in-house study were sufficiently robust to warrant an independent study and in March 2009 the Company commissioned A.C.A. Howe International Limited to carry out a NI 43-101 independent study.

The Company has continued with permitting and all relevant mining permits are now in place at San Jose except for the blasting licence which Arian has applied for and is being progressed with the relevant Mexican authorities.

Dewatering of the deeper levels, below 150m from surface, of the San Jose mine workings was continued after the Company purchased a new and more efficient submersible water pump during the Q3 of 2008. The 120 HP pump, currently pumping non-stop at a rate of 30 litres a second, is expected to dewater the entire remaining flooded area over the next two months. Once dewatering is complete and the accessibility considered safe to enter, further channel sampling will take place of these deeper levels. By arrangement with the local community the pumped water is being used for irrigating the nearby farmland.

Arian is now planning on submitting its stockpiled drill and channel samples for assay. The submission of these samples had been put on hold given the cost of analysis and pending raising additional finance.

The following schedule shows project expenditure during the year:

	Year ended December 31	
	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
<b>San Jose</b>		
Mining & option rights	470	144
Drilling & exploration	982	919
Geology – logging & sampling	156	96
Administration	252	165
Total	<u>1,860</u>	<u>1,324</u>

The above expenditure has been capitalised as an intangible asset.

Additional information in respect of the San Jose Project is contained in a technical report prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited dated 15 August, 2008 and entitled “Resource Estimation Update for the San Jose Silver-Lead-Zinc Deposit, Zacatecas, Mexico”. A copy of this report can be obtained at SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

### Tepal Project; Michoacán State

The Company has an exclusive option over 100% of the Tepal polymetallic porphyry project in Michoacán State, Mexico. The option agreement is for a five-year term. Assuming the option is exercised in full the Company will pay the vendor, Minera Tepal, \$5 million in instalments. In addition, the Company will grant the vendor a NSR of 2.5% with a right of first refusal to buy out the NSR for an unspecified amount.

The Tepal landholding is approximately 14,000Ha covering six exploitation concessions that contain Au-Cu porphyry style mineralisation that have been explored in the past by Inco, Teck and Hecla. Initial investigations by Arian indicated that the Tepal Project consists of four gossanous polymetallic mineral deposits containing copper, gold and silver with potential for additional areas of mineralisation.

A Phase-1 core drill programme was completed during Q2 of 2008 for a total of 7,178.55m in 42 holes of which 30 holes intersected significant gold-copper mineralisation. The drilling confirmed the existence of two significant mineralised porphyry zones (the North and South Zones) and a newly discovered porphyry zone to the east.

In September, 2008 the Company announced an updated mineral resource estimate in respect of Tepal (see the Company's press release dated 24 September, 2008 entitled "Arian Silver Upgrades Tepal Resource Estimate") details of which are set out below. The resource estimate has upgraded 36% of the previously defined inferred mineral resource to the indicated mineral resource category, and this represents 24,995,000 tonnes, containing 440,000 oz of Au and 147.1 million lbs of Cu, for a Au-Eq of 938,000 oz.

The mineral resources are currently defined to two distinct mineralised porphyry zones, the North and South Zones. The drilling has indicated that these two zones are in part open, in particular the North Zone to the Northwest and the South Zone to the South. There are indications that the mineralisation may also extend further in depth. A third zone of porphyry-style Au-Cu mineralisation has been discovered approximately 1.5 kilometres (km) east of the South Zone, but this zone, due to insufficient data, is not included in the latest resource estimate.

### Tepal Mineral Resources

	Tonnes	Grade			Contained Metal		
		Au	Cu	AuEq	Au	Cu	Au Eq
	('000)	g/t	%	g/t	(oz x 000's)	(Mlbs)	(oz x 000's)
Indicated	24,995	0.54	0.27	1.2	440	147.1	938
Inferred	54,964	0.41	0.22	0.9	720	265.4	1,612

- 1 Au = Gold. Cu = Copper. AuEq = Gold Equivalent
- 2 Arian has an exclusive option agreement to purchase 100% of the Tepal properties
- 3 Gold Equivalent Grades (g/t) were calculated using metal prices of US\$600/oz Au, US\$2/lb Cu and assuming 100% recoveries
- 4 The mineral resource estimates are in accordance with CIM and JORC standards
- 5 The effective date of the mineral resource estimates is 24 September, 2008
- 6 The estimates are based on geostatistical data assessment and computerised IDW<sup>3</sup>, 0.18 g/t Au grade wireframe envelope restricted, linear block modelling

The "Qualified Person", as such term is defined in NI 43-101, who prepared the above mineral resource estimates, is Mr. Galen White. Mr. White was at the time these estimates were prepared an employee of A.C.A. Howe International Limited.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

During the period under review the Company also undertook a preliminary regional exploration programme and a number of gossans have been identified and sampled with encouraging assay results.

In accordance with the decision announced in December 2008 to defer exploration expenditure, further work at Tepal was suspended and the local office closed.

The following schedule shows project expenditure during the year:

	Year ended December 31	
	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
<b>Tepal</b>		
Mining & option rights	519	554
Drilling & exploration	467	533
Geology – logging & sampling	207	30
Administration	74	98
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,267</b>	<b>1,215</b>

The above expenditure has been capitalised as an intangible asset.

Additional information in respect of the Tepal Project is contained in a technical report prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited dated 24 September, 2008 and entitled “Resource Estimation Update for the Tepal Gold-Copper Prospect, Michoacan, Mexico”. A copy of this report can be obtained from SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)

### Calicanto Project, Zacatecas District, Zacatecas State

The Calicanto Project consists of seven adjacent mining concessions totalling 75.5Ha, namely: Calicanto, Vicochea I, Vicochea II, Misie 1 and Misie 2, and Missie 1 and Missie 2 properties, collectively known as the “Calicanto Group”. The concessions are located in the historic mining district of Zacatecas. The Calicanto Group of concessions comprises at least four main mineralised vein systems.

During the period all major exploration at the Calicanto Group was deferred as drilling focussed on the San Jose and Tepal properties. The Calicanto and San Buenaventura ramps were each extended 10m, and work focussed on improving site safety with fences erected around all open shafts and mine workings. In addition, mapping and sampling continued at the property to allow Arian to further evaluate the potential of the Calicanto Group.

In June 2008 the Company paid the final option instalment of \$184,000 on the Calicanto Group and the relevant title documents have been notarised and registered.

The following schedule shows project expenditure during the year:

	Year ended December 31	
	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
<b>Calicanto Group: Zacatecas</b>		
Mining & option rights	211	18
Drilling & exploration	17	223
Geology – logging & sampling	8	42
Administration	13	29
<b>Total</b>	<b>249</b>	<b>312</b>

The above expenditure has been capitalised as an intangible asset.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

Additional information in respect of the Calicanto Project is contained in a technical report prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited dated 20 March, 2006 and entitled “Technical Report on the Calicanto and San Celso Projects, Zacatecas, Mexico”. A copy of this report can be obtained from SEDAR on [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)

### **Exploration and development commitments as at 31 December, 2008**

The Company does not have any exploration and development expenditure commitments in respect of its projects. However, the following refer to the material payments that will become due under the agreements relating to the San Jose and Tepal properties:

(a) In relation to the San Jose Project the Company is required to pay the vendor \$1.5 million in instalments through to December 2009. At 31 December, 2008, \$745,000 had been paid and in Q1 of 2009 a further instalment of \$255,000 was paid. The final instalment of \$500,000 is due in December, 2009.

(b) In relation to the Tepal Project the Company is required to pay the vendor \$5 million in instalments through to June 2011. At 31 December, 2008, \$1,300,000 had been paid and the next payment of \$500,000 is due in June 2009.

The Company is able to decline to pay future instalments due under the agreements relating to San Jose and Tepal without financial penalty. However, in the case of Tepal, in the event of failure to pay any future instalment the Company would forfeit all rights to the property.

The outstanding expenditures described above are discretionary and not yet committed as they are dependent on timing and availability of funds.

### **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The Company has not generated any operating revenues and losses have continued to be incurred throughout the period.

#### ***Financial year ended 31 December, 2008 compared to financial year ended 31 December, 2007***

The loss for the year was \$3.7 million (2007 - \$4.9 million). This loss includes the expensing of the fair value of share options vesting of \$0.5 million (2007 - \$1.1 million) and other administrative expenses of \$3.2 million (2007 - \$3.9 million). Year-on-year expenditure has been significantly reduced in the following areas; salaries and associated overheads, travel and entertainment, investor relations, audit and accountancy, public relations and advertising. Consultancy fees have increased compared to last year largely as a result of technical studies carried out on the Company's San Jose and Tepal projects in Mexico.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

### SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

Unaudited	2008	2008	2008	2008
	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter \$'000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter \$'000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter \$'000	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter \$'000
Total Revenues	11	-	2	18
Net loss before exceptional items	399	1,757	643	921
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.00)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Net loss for the period	388	1,757	641	903
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.02)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Total assets	7,551	8,829	9,750	7,615
Shareholders' equity	7,296	8,192	9,567	7,249
Cash dividend declared per share	-	-	-	-

  

Unaudited	2007	2007	2007	2007
	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter \$'000	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter \$'000	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter \$'000	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter \$'000
Total Revenues	18	16	10	18
Net loss before exceptional items	1,240	1,119	1,553	1,043
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Net loss for the period	1,178	1,119	1,553	1,043
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Total assets	8,436	5,937	4,382	4,264
Shareholders' equity	8,100	5,757	3,898	3,909
Cash dividend declared per share	-	-	-	-

#### **Fourth quarter 2008 vs. third quarter 2008**

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was \$1.4 million lower than for the previous quarter due to a reversal of a \$0.6 million foreign exchange charge and a \$0.2 million reduction in expenditure due to a reduction in corporate overheads. Cash increased by £0.6 million to £0.8 million and intangible assets decreased by \$1.4 million. This reduction is due to \$1.6 million foreign exchange adjustment and a change of \$0.1 million in respect of exploration expenses, offset by \$0.3 million incurred in respect of exploration work undertaken in Mexico. The decrease in shareholders' equity is largely attributable to the loss incurred for the period.

#### **Third quarter 2008 vs. second quarter 2008**

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was \$1.1 million higher than for the previous quarter. This was largely due to a \$0.9 million foreign exchange difference (Q3 foreign exchange charge of \$0.6 million compared to a foreign exchange credit of \$0.3 million in Q2) and \$0.2 million for expensing the fair value of share options vesting (Q3 expense of \$0.3 million compared to an expense of \$0.1 million in Q2). Cash decreased by £0.7 million to £0.2 million and intangible assets increased by \$0.3 million in respect of exploration work undertaken in Mexico. The decrease in shareholders' equity is largely attributable to the loss incurred for the period.

#### **Second quarter 2008 vs. first quarter 2008**

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was lower than for the previous quarter largely due to a reduction in the corporate overheads. Cash increased by \$50k to \$900k as a result of the Cdn\$3 million share placement that was completed in the second quarter. Intangible assets increased by \$1.8m due to continued expenditure on exploration work undertaken in Mexico which expenditure included approximately \$1.1m in property option

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

payments. The increase in shareholders' equity is largely attributable to the increased share capital as a result of the share placement referred to above.

### ***First quarter 2008 vs. fourth quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was lower than for the previous quarter largely due to lower corporate overheads. Cash decreased by \$2.3 million and intangible assets increased by \$1.3 million due to expenditure in exploration work undertaken in Mexico. The decrease in shareholders' equity was largely attributable to the loss incurred for the period.

### ***Fourth quarter 2007 vs. third quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period is broadly similar to the previous quarter and results from incurring costs related to corporate overheads and administration of the Company's projects in Mexico. Intangible assets, representing the cost of exploration in Mexico increased by \$1.2 million compared to \$0.7 million in the third quarter and \$1.0 million in the second quarter. Shareholders' equity increased by \$2.3 million in the fourth quarter due to share issues from warrant exercises offset by project and administration expenditure.

### ***Third quarter 2007 vs. second quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss for the period fell by \$0.4 million over the previous quarter mainly due to a reduction in the cost of expensing the fair value of share options of \$0.6 million, offset by higher administrative costs. Intangible assets, representing the cost of exploration in Mexico, increased by \$0.7 million in the period due to the extensive drilling programmes, compared to expenditure of \$1 million in the second quarter. Shareholders' equity increased in the third quarter by \$1.9 million in relation to shares issued from a private placement and warrant and option exercises of approximately \$3 million, offset by the loss incurred in the period.

### ***Second quarter 2007 vs. first quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss for the period increased by \$0.5 million compared to that incurred in the previous quarter due to an increase in the expensing of the fair value of share options by \$0.7 million, compared to \$0.1 million in the first quarter; otherwise, administrative costs were similar. There was a significant increase in intangible assets in the quarter by \$1 million due to the extensive drilling programmes, compared to a spend of \$0.3 million in the first quarter. Shareholders' equity was unchanged compared to the previous quarter as the issue of new shares in the period of \$0.8 million was offset by administrative expenditure and the write off of the fair value of share options.

## **LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES AND GOING CONCERN**

In management's view, the most meaningful information concerning the Company relates to its current liquidity and solvency since it is not currently generating any income from its mineral projects.

Since the Company is at an early stage of development, it has in the past raised funds in several discrete tranches, which is a common practice for junior mineral exploration companies. Although the Company has been successful in the past in raising equity finance, there can be no assurance that the funding required by the Company will be made available to it when needed or, if such funding were to be available, that it would be offered on reasonable terms. The terms of such financing might not be favourable to the Company and might involve substantial dilution to existing shareholders.

The Company will require additional funding in the future in order to progress exploration programmes on its mineral projects, to meet property payments, for development and for general working capital requirements. Sources of funds currently available to the Company are through the



## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

issue of equity capital, the sale of its interests in one or more of its projects, by way of project joint ventures or business combinations. See **Risks and Uncertainties – Requirement of Additional Financing** for details of additional risks associated with future funding of the Company.

During 2008, the Company made the following share and warrant issues:

- 12,092,000 common shares at Cdn\$0.25 to provide additional working capital of Cdn\$3,023,000;
- 17,500,000 common shares at Cdn\$0.10 to provide additional working capital of Cdn\$1,747,000; and
- 6,046,000 share purchase warrants exercisable up to 29 November, 2009 at Cdn\$0.35 (the “C Warrants”).

In addition to the C Warrants, the Company has outstanding 2,727,250 common share purchase warrants that were exercisable up to 1 August, 2009 at Cdn\$0.65 per share (the “B Warrants”). On 21 April, 2009 the Company announced that warrant holders had approved proposals to vary the terms of the B and C warrants (the “Warrants Variation”) as follows:

(a) re-pricing 1,363,625 of the B Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.07 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on the date that is three months following receipt by the Company of approval of the Warrants Variation provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange (“TSXV”) exceeds Cdn\$0.0875 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day;

(b) re-pricing 1,363,625 of the B Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.10 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on 31 December, 2009 provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSXV exceeds Cdn\$0.125 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day.

(c) re-pricing 3,023,000 of the C Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.07 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on the date that is three months following receipt by the Company of approval of the Warrants Variation provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSXV exceeds Cdn\$0.0875 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day; and

(d) re-pricing 3,023,000 of the C Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.10 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on 31 December, 2009 provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSXV exceeds Cdn\$0.125 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis

During Q1 of 2009, the Company announced the terms of a proposed share exchange transaction with Grafton. The transaction comprised two parts:

### *Part 1*

On 26 February, 2009 the Company announced that it had issued 26,097,230 common shares to Grafton at Cdn\$0.055 per share (equating to approximately Cdn\$1,435,347) and Grafton had issued to the Company 26,322 participating shares (equating to approximately Cdn\$1,435,347 determined by reference to the net asset value per Grafton participating share of \$43.98 as at the close of business on 30 January, 2009).

As a result of this transaction, Grafton held 14.9% of the Company's outstanding common shares.

### *Part 2*

On 17 April, 2009 the Company announced that it had issued a further 82,993,679 common shares to Grafton at Cdn\$0.055 per share (equating to approximately Cdn\$4,564,653) and Grafton had issued to the Company 102,269 participating shares (equating to approximately Cdn\$4,564,653 determined by reference to the net asset value per Grafton participating share of \$36.93 as at the close of business on 31 March, 2009).

As a result of this transaction Grafton's interest in the Company increased to 109,090,909 common shares (approximately 42.2%).

It is planned that the 128,591 Grafton participating shares (the "Grafton Shares") held by the Company will be placed for cash with third parties by Grafton and its associates in order to raise working capital finance for the Company. It is anticipated that the placing of the Grafton Shares will take place in the near future following the listing of Grafton on the Dublin Stock Exchange. The principals of Grafton and their associates have experience in providing funding for junior mining and exploration companies using this type of transaction. The Company is reliant on Grafton being able to replicate this experience to provide the significant new funding sought by the Company by this transaction and on a timely basis. See ***Risks and Uncertainties – Transaction with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd*** for details of additional risks associated with this transaction.

In addition, the Company has accumulated IVA (sales tax) on past exploration expenditure in Mexico which amounted to \$581,000 at 31 December, 2008. IVA is generally repaid in instalments by the Mexican tax authorities. However, there is no certainty as to the timing of future repayments of this IVA debtor.

In March 2009 Grafton advanced \$300,000 to the Company for working capital purposes which enabled the Company to pay an option instalment of approximately \$250,000 due that month in relation to the San Jose Project. This advance is interest free and is repayable from the first proceeds from the disposal of the Grafton Shares held by the Company. Grafton has agreed to make available a further advance of \$250,000 in early May to the Company, on similar terms, for working capital purposes.

Based on current expectations, the directors of the Company currently believe it appropriate to prepare the Company's financial statements on a going concern basis. However, if funding from the transaction with Grafton does not meet current expectations in terms of amount raised and timing the Company may not be able to meet its ongoing working capital and project expenditure requirements. If these circumstances arose then there would be significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern and the carrying value of the Group's exploration projects would be impaired.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

### **Working Capital - year ended 31 December, 2008**

As at 31 December, 2008, the Company had working capital of approximately \$1.1 million (2007 – \$3.5 million). As detailed in **Overview of Operations – Exploration and development commitments as at 31 December, 2008** the Company will need to make some material payments in relation to its San Jose and Tepal properties.

The decrease in working capital during the period is the result of project costs and administrative expenditure.

The most significant asset at 31 December, 2008 was intangible assets of \$6.0 million (2007 – \$4.4 million). In addition, there were tangible assets of \$0.1 million (2007 – \$0.2 million), receivables of \$0.6 million (2007 – \$0.7 million) and cash of \$0.8 million (2007 – \$3.1 million). Payables were \$0.3 million (2007 – \$0.3 million).

### **Off-balance sheet arrangements**

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

### **TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES**

During the financial years ended 31 December, 2008 and 2007, the Company entered into the following transactions involving related parties:

(a) Companies in the Dragon Group charged the Company a total of \$449,147 (2007 – \$934,859) in respect of the provision of support services and accommodation as well as for reimbursable expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. Tony Williams, Chairman and a director of the Company, beneficially owns the Dragon Group. At 31 December, 2008 \$30,746 (2007 – nil) was outstanding.

(b) Kopane Diamond Developments PLC (“KDD”) charged the Company a total of \$18,374 (2007 – \$105,386) in respect of the reimbursement of a director’s remuneration paid on behalf of the Company. The Company charged KDD \$24,523 (2007 – nil) for the provision of accounting support services. James Cable and Tony Williams are Directors of the Company and KDD. At 31 December, 2008 nil (2007 – nil) was due to KDD and \$10,111 (2007 – nil) was due to the Company by KDD.

The Company also entered into the following transactions with subsidiaries:

The Company made loans to Arian Silver de Mexico S.A. de C.V. (“Arian Mexico”) of \$2,813,992 (2007 – \$4,332,246) and paid charges on behalf of Arian Mexico of \$138,821 (2007 – \$40,849). A management fee of \$7,240 was also charged to Arian Mexico (2007 – \$10,352).

These transactions, occurring in the normal course of operations, are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

### **FOURTH QUARTER**

During the fourth quarter there was no material exploration activity on the Company’s projects in line with the decision to reduce expenditures in order to preserve cash resources.

The Company continued with permitting for San Jose with the relevant Mexican authorities.

Also, following the purchase of a new and more efficient submersible water pump during the Q3 of 2008 Arian continued to dewater the deeper levels, below 150m from surface, of the San Jose mine workings. Once dewatering is complete and the accessibility considered safe to enter,

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

further channel sampling will take place of these deeper levels. By arrangement with the local community the pumped water is being used for irrigating the nearby farmland.

In October 2008 the Company raised Cdn\$1.75 million by way of a private placement of 17.5 million common shares at Cdn\$0.10 per share.

### **PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS**

See *Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern* for details of proposed transactions.

### **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the Company to select from possible alternative accounting principles and to make estimates and assumptions that determine the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date and reported costs and expenditures during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions may be revised as new information is obtained and are subject to change. The Company's accounting policies are considered appropriate in the circumstances, but are subject to judgements and uncertainties inherent in the financial reporting process.

#### ***Resource Properties, Deferred Exploration and Development Costs***

All costs related to the exploration of mineral properties are capitalised until either the properties are brought into production, at which time they are depleted on a unit of production basis, or until the properties are sold, or title rights allowed to lapse, or are abandoned or determined not to be commercially viable, at which time they are charged to the income statement.

The amounts capitalised at any time represent costs to be charged to operations in future and do not necessarily reflect the present or future values of particular properties. The recoverability of the carrying values of exploration properties is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete development and future profitable production therefrom, or alternatively, upon the Company's ability to dispose of its interests on an advantageous basis.

Management is of the view that the current policy is appropriate for the Company at this time and is consistent with many other public mineral exploration and development companies in the UK and Canada. Shareholders are advised that carrying values are not necessarily indicative of present or future values. The Company assesses whether impairment exists in any of its exploration projects and writes down that project to its estimated recoverable value when such impairment is found to exist. Any write down is recorded as an expense in the Company's income statement in the financial statements for the relevant period.

#### ***Share based payments***

The share option programme allows Group directors, officers, employees and consultants to acquire shares of the Company. The fair value of options granted is recognised as an expense with a corresponding increase in equity. The fair value is measured at the grant date and spread over the period until the options vest unconditionally. The fair value of the options granted is measured using the Black-Scholes model, taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options were granted. The amount recognised as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share options that vest, except if the change is due to market based conditions not being satisfied.

## **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The Company is subject to a number of risk factors due to the nature of the mining business in which it is engaged, not least are adverse movements in commodity prices, which are impossible to forecast. The Company seeks to counter this risk, as far as possible, by selecting exploration areas on the basis of their recognised geological potential to host economic deposits.

### ***Agreements in relation to certain mining concessions***

There is no certainty that the Company will elect to continue to make the instalment payments due in respect of the agreements relating to the San Jose and Tepal properties. The sums paid and due to be paid under such agreements are not repayable and if any option payment due in respect of Tepal is not paid the Company will forfeit all rights to the property.

In addition, in relation to concessions over which the Company has an option, the current concession holder may not be able to, or may voluntarily decide not to, comply, or may not have complied in all respects, with the concession requirements for some or all of its concessions. If the current concession holder fails to fulfil the specific terms of any of its concessions or operates in the concession areas in a manner that violates Mexican law, regulators may impose fines, suspend or revoke the concessions, any of which could have a material adverse effect on the Company's operations and proposed operations.

### ***Requirement of Additional Financing***

The exploration and development of the Company's concessions, including continuing exploration projects, and the construction of mining facilities and commencement of mining operations, will require substantial additional financing. Additional financing will also be required for working capital, to pay instalments to acquire mineral property interests under agreements held by the Company at the date of this document and any such agreements which are subsequently entered into by the Company. The Company does not currently have sufficient funds to explore its concessions and to maintain its interest in all its projects. No assurance can be given that the Company will be able to raise the additional financing necessary to explore its concessions, or exercise its options (current or future). Failure to obtain sufficient financing for any projects will result in a delay or indefinite postponement of exploration, development or production on properties covered by the Company's concessions or even the loss of a concession. The only source of funds currently available to the Company is through the issue of equity capital, the sale of concessions, royalty interests or the entering into of joint ventures. In addition, the Company's ability to obtain further financing will depend in part on the price of silver and the industry's perception of its future price and other factors outside the Company's control. Additional financing may not be available when needed, or if available, the terms of such financing might not be favourable to the Company and might involve substantial dilution to shareholders. In the absence of adequate funding the Company may not be able to continue as a going concern in which event the carrying value of the Company's exploration projects would be impaired.

### ***Transaction with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd ("Grafton")***

The share exchange transaction with Grafton is designed to provide new funding for the Company by placing the Grafton shares that the Company has received with third parties for cash. Whilst the principals of Grafton and their associates have in the past secured funding for companies through this type of mechanism no assurance can be given that they can replicate past success for the Company. There is also uncertainty as to the timing and amount of funding that can be raised for the Company from the disposal of the Grafton shares. In the event of undue delay in raising significant funding from this source or such funding that is forthcoming does not meet current expectations, the Company may not be able to meet its ongoing working capital requirements and this may have an adverse impact on the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern in which event the carrying value of the Company's exploration projects would be impaired.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

### ***Limited Operating History***

The Company has no concessions producing revenue and its ultimate success will depend on its ability to generate cash flow from concessions in the future. The Company has not earned profits to date and there is no assurance that it will do so in the future. A major portion of the Company's activities will be directed to the search for and the development of new silver deposits. Significant capital investment will be required for exploration at the concessions and to achieve commercial production from the Company's existing projects and from successful exploration efforts. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise the required funds to continue these activities.

### ***No Reserves***

The Company does not hold any concessions, or currently have an interest in concessions pursuant to options, in respect of which mineral reserves estimates have been established that comply with CIM Standards and Guidelines or other similar recognised industry standards.

### ***Reliance on Sub-Contractors in Mexico***

The Company will rely on sub-contractors to implement the Company's exploration and development programs. The failure of a sub-contractor to perform properly its services to the Company could delay or inconvenience the Company's operations, and have a materially adverse effect on the Company.

### ***Key Personnel***

The Company's business is dependent on retaining the services of a small number of key personnel of the appropriate calibre as the business develops. The Company has entered into employment agreements with certain key managers. The success of the Company is, and will continue to be to a significant extent, dependent on the expertise and experience of the directors and senior management. The loss of one or more of these individuals could have a materially adverse effect on the Company. The Company does not currently have any insurance in place with respect to key personnel.

### ***Environmental Factors***

The Company's operations are subject to environmental regulation in the jurisdictions in which the Company operates. Such regulation covers a wide variety of matters, including, without limitation, prevention of waste, pollution and protection of the environment, labour regulations and health and safety. The Company may also be subject under such regulations to clean-up costs and liability for toxic or hazardous substances, which may exist on or under any of the properties covered by its concessions, or which may be produced as a result of its operations.

If the Company does not comply with environmental regulations or does not file environmental impact statements in relation to each of its concessions, it may be subject to penalties, its operations may be suspended, closed and/or its concessions may be revoked.

Environmental legislation and permit requirements are likely to evolve in a manner which will require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their directors and employees.

### ***Nature of Mineral Exploration and Mining***

Any exploration program entails risks relating to the location of economic orebodies, the development of appropriate metallurgical processes, the receipt of necessary governmental permits and the construction of mining and processing facilities. The Company's projects are not in production and no assurance can be given that any exploration program will result in any new commercial mining operation or in the discovery of new resources.

The exploration and development of mineral deposits involves significant financial risks over a prolonged period of time, which even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

knowledge may not eliminate. While discovery of a mineral structure may result in substantial rewards, few concessions which are explored are ultimately developed into producing mines. Major expenditure may be required to establish reserves by drilling and to construct mining and processing facilities at a site. It is impossible to ensure that preliminary feasibility studies or full feasibility studies on the Company's projects or the current or proposed exploration programs on any of the concessions in which the Company has rights or is negotiating rights will result in a profitable commercial mining operation.

The Company's operations are subject to all of the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration, development and the production of minerals. These could result in damage to or destruction of the Company's facilities, damage to life or property, environmental damage or pollution and possibly legal liability for any or all damage which could have a material adverse impact on the business, operations and financial performance of the Company. The Company's activities may be subject to prolonged disruptions due to weather conditions depending on the location of operations in which the Company has interests. Hazards, such as unusual or unexpected geological formations, rock falls, flooding or other climatic conditions may be encountered in the drilling and removal of material. Although precautions to minimise risk will be taken, even a combination of careful evaluation, experience and knowledge may not eliminate all of the hazards and risks.

Whether a mineral deposit will be commercially viable depends on a number of factors, some of which are the particular attributes of the deposit, such as its size and grade, proximity to infrastructure, financing costs and governmental regulations, including regulations relating to prices, taxes, royalties, infrastructure, land use, importing and exporting of silver and environmental protection. The effect of these factors cannot be accurately predicted, but the combination of these factors may result in the Company not receiving an adequate return on invested capital.

### ***Political Risk***

The Company is conducting its exploration activities in the Republic of Mexico. The Company may be adversely affected by changes in economic, political, judicial, administrative or other regulatory factors such as taxation in the Republic of Mexico, where the Company will operate and holds its major assets. The Republic of Mexico may have a more volatile political environment and/or more challenging trading conditions than in some other parts of the world. The Directors believe the Government of Mexico supports the development of natural resources by foreign operators. There is no assurance that future political and economic conditions in Mexico will not result in the Government of Mexico adopting different policies in respect of foreign development and ownership of mineral resources. Any such changes in policy may result in changes in laws affecting ownership of assets, taxation, rates of exchange, environmental protection, labour relations, repatriation of income and return of capital. These changes may affect both the Company's ability to undertake exploration and development activities in respect of future properties in the manner currently contemplated, as well as its ability to continue to explore and develop those properties, in respect of which it has obtained exploration and development rights to date.

### ***Payment Obligations***

Under the mineral property agreements and certain other contractual agreements to which a member of the Company is, or may in the future become, a party, any such company is, or may become, subject to payment and other obligations. If such obligations are not complied with when due, in addition to any other remedies which may be available to other parties, this could result in dilution or forfeiture of interests held by such companies. The Company may not have, or be able to obtain, financing for all such obligations as they arise.

### ***Regulatory Approvals***

The operations of the Company require approvals, licenses and permits from various regulatory authorities, governmental and otherwise. The Board believes that the Company holds or will obtain all necessary approvals, licenses and permits under applicable laws and regulations in respect of its

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

current projects. There can be no guarantee that the Company will be able to obtain or maintain all necessary approvals, licenses and permits that may be required to explore and develop its various projects and/or commence construction or operation of mining facilities that economically justify the cost.

### ***Competition***

The Company competes with numerous other companies and individuals in the search for and acquisition of mineral claims, leases and other mineral interests, as well as for the recruitment and retention of qualified employees. There is significant competition for the silver opportunities available and, as a result, the Company may be unable to acquire further silver concessions on terms it considers acceptable.

### ***Conflicts of Interest***

Certain directors and officers of the Company also serve as directors and/or officers of other companies involved in mineral exploration and development and consequently there is the potential for conflicts of interest. The Company expects that any such director or officer shall disclose such interest in accordance with its articles of association or his contractual obligations to the Company and any decision made by any of such directors and officers involving the Company will be made in accordance with their duties and obligations to deal fairly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

### ***Forward Looking Statements***

This MD&A contains certain "forward-looking statements". All statements, other than statements of historical fact, that address activities, events or developments that the Company believes, expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future (including, without limitation, funding from the disposal of the Grafton shares or from other sources, the mineral resource estimates contained in this MD&A, statements regarding exploration results, potential mineralisation, potential mineral resources, future production and the Company's exploration and development plans and objectives) are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements reflect the current expectations or beliefs of the Company based on information currently available to the Company. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause the actual results of the Company to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements, and even if such actual results are realised or substantially realised, there can be no assurance that they will have the expected consequences to, or effects on the Company. Factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from current expectations include, among other things, failure to establish estimated mineral reserves, the possibility that future exploration results will not be consistent with the Company's expectations, uncertainties relating to the availability and costs of financing needed in the future, the possibility that the placing of the Grafton shares held by the Company will not be sold on a timely basis and/or that such placement will not generate sufficient funds for the Company to meet its ongoing obligations, changes in commodity prices, changes in equity markets, political developments in Mexico, changes to regulations affecting the Company's activities, delays in obtaining or failures to obtain required regulatory approvals, the uncertainties involved in interpreting exploration results and other geological data, and the other risks involved in the mineral exploration and development industry. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and, except as may be required by applicable securities laws, the Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise. Although the Company believes that the assumptions inherent in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and accordingly undue reliance should not be put on such statements due to the inherent uncertainty therein.

The mineral resource figures disclosed in this MD&A are estimates and no assurances can be given that the indicated levels of minerals will be produced. Such estimates are expressions of judgment based on knowledge, mining experience, analysis of drilling results and industry practices. Valid estimates made at a given time may significantly change when new information



## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management Discussion and Analysis**

becomes available. While the Company believes that the resource estimates included in this MD&A are well established, by their nature resource estimates are imprecise and depend, to a certain extent, upon statistical inferences, which may ultimately prove unreliable. If such estimates are inaccurate or are reduced in the future, this could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that mineral resources can be upgraded to mineral reserves through continued exploration.

### **OTHER INFORMATION**

#### ***Additional Information***

Additional information relating to the Company may be accessed through SEDAR on the internet at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or the Company's website on [www.ariansilver.com](http://www.ariansilver.com).

#### ***Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data***

The following table sets forth information concerning the outstanding securities of the Company as at 24 April, 2009:

	<b>Number in issue</b>
Common shares of no par value	258,142,878
Share options	6,950,000
Share purchase warrants	8,773,250

Each share option and share purchase warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one common share of the Company.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements**

### **Audited Consolidated Financial Statements Years ended 31 December, 2008 and 2007**

#### **Statement of directors' responsibilities**

Company law requires the directors to prepare financial statements for each financial year. Under that law the directors have, as required by the AIM Rules of the London Stock Exchange, prepared the group financial statements in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards and have also elected to prepare the group financial statements in accordance with those standards. The directors are responsible for preparing the annual report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and regulations.

The financial statements are required to give a true and fair view of the state of affairs of the group and of the profit or loss of the group for that period. In preparing these financial statements the directors are required to:

- select suitable accounting policies and then apply them consistently;
- make judgments and estimates that are reasonable and prudent;
- prepare the financial statements on the going concern basis unless it is inappropriate to presume that the company will continue in business.

The directors are responsible for keeping proper accounting records that disclose with reasonable accuracy at any time the financial position of the group. They are also responsible for safeguarding the assets of the group and hence for taking reasonable steps for the prevention and detection of fraud and other irregularities.

The directors are responsible for the maintenance and integrity of the corporate and financial information included on the Company's website.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements**

### **Report of the independent auditors' to the members of Arian Silver Corporation**

We have audited the group financial statements ('the financial statements') of Arian Silver Corporation for the year ended 31 December, 2008 which comprise the consolidated income statement, the consolidated balance sheet, the consolidated statement of cash flows and consolidated statement of recognised income and expense and the related notes. The financial statements have been prepared under the accounting policies set out therein.

This report is made solely to the Company's members, as a body. Our audit work has been undertaken so that we might state to the Company's members our opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view for no other purpose. To the fullest extent permitted by law, we do not accept or assume responsibility to anyone other than the Company and the Company's members as a body, for our audit work, for this report, or for the opinions we have formed.

#### **Respective responsibilities of directors and auditors**

The directors' responsibilities for preparing the annual report and the financial statements in accordance with applicable law and International Financial Reporting Standards ('IFRSs') as adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board are set out in the statement of directors' responsibilities.

Our responsibility is to audit the financial statements in accordance with relevant legal and regulatory requirements and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We report to you our opinion as to whether the financial statements give a true and fair view.

We read other information contained in the annual report and consider whether it is consistent with the audited financial statements. The other information comprises only the Management's Discussion and Analysis. We consider the implications for our report if we become aware of any apparent misstatements or material inconsistencies with the financial statements. Our responsibilities do not extend to any other information.

#### **Basis of audit opinion**

We conducted our audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) issued by the Auditing Practices Board. An audit includes examination, on a test basis, of evidence relevant to the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. It also includes an assessment of the significant estimates and judgments made by the directors in the preparation of the financial statements, and of whether the accounting policies are appropriate to the group's and company's circumstances, consistently applied and adequately disclosed.

We planned and performed our audit so as to obtain all the information and explanations we considered necessary in order to provide us with sufficient evidence to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or other irregularity or error. In forming our opinion we also evaluated the overall adequacy of the presentation of information in the financial statements.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion the financial statements give a true and fair view, in accordance with IFRSs as adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board, of the state of the group's affairs as at 31 December, 2008 and of its loss for the year then ended.

#### **Emphasis of matter – going concern and adequacy of project finance**

In forming our opinion, which is not qualified, we have considered the adequacy of disclosures made in note 2(a) to the financial statements concerning the group's ability to continue as a going concern and progress its exploration projects. Further funding is now required for the next 12 months and the directors have taken steps to raise the additional finance. However, the receipt of the funding remains outstanding and is dependent upon the successful placing for cash of the Company's equity investment in Grafton Resource Investments Limited ("Grafton"). The Company also requires a short term advance for working capital purposes which Grafton has confirmed will be forthcoming. These conditions indicate the existence of a material uncertainty which may cast significant doubt about the group's ability to continue as a going concern and the carrying value of the Group's exploration projects. These financial statements do not include the adjustments that would result if the group was unable to continue as a going concern.

#### **PKF (UK) LLP**

Registered Auditors  
London, UK  
27 April, 2009

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements**

### **Independent Auditors' Report to the Directors of Arian Silver Corporation in respect of Compatibility with Canadian GAAS**

In accordance with the requirement contained in National Instrument 52-107 we report below on the compatibility of Canadian Generally Accepted Auditing Standards ("Canadian GAAS") and International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We conducted our audit for the year ended 31 December, 2008 in accordance with International Standards of Auditing (UK and Ireland). There are no material differences in the form or content of our audit report, except as noted below, as compared to an auditors' report prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAS and if this report were prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAS it would not contain a reservation.

An audit report issued in accordance with Canadian GAAS does not require the Emphasis of Matter paragraph that is included in the United Kingdom Independent Auditors' Report for the year ended 31 December, 2008 given above. In all other respects, there are no material differences in the form and content of the above noted auditors' report.

PKF (UK) LLP  
London, UK  
27 April, 2009

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

Consolidated balance sheet  
(Expressed in United States dollars)

As at 31 December, 2008

	<i>Note</i>	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
<b>Assets</b>			
Property, plant and equipment	9	135	181
Intangible assets	10	6,038	4,407
<b>Total non-current assets</b>		6,173	4,588
Trade and other receivables	12	625	714
Cash and cash equivalents	13,19	753	3,134
<b>Total current assets</b>		1,378	3,848
<b>Total assets</b>		7,551	8,436
<b>Equity</b>			
Share capital	14,15	33,303	29,852
Share-based payment reserve	15,17	2,184	2,068
Foreign exchange translation reserve	15	(1,973)	(865)
Retained loss		(26,218)	(22,955)
<b>Total equity</b>		7,296	8,100
Trade and other payables	18	255	336
<b>Total current liabilities</b>		255	336
<b>Total liabilities</b>		255	336
<b>Total equity and liabilities</b>		7,551	8,436

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

*The financial statements were approved and authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on 27 April 2009 and were signed on its behalf by:*

“J T Williams”  
J T Williams  
Chief Executive Officer

“G A Potts”  
G A Potts  
Chief Financial Officer

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

Consolidated income statement  
(Expressed in United States dollars)

For the year ended 31 December, 2008

	2008	2007
<i>Note</i>	\$'000	\$'000
Administrative expenses	(3,720)	(4,955)
<b>Operating loss before financing costs</b>	<u>(3,720)</u>	<u>(4,955)</u>
Finance income	7 31	62
<b>Net financing income</b>	<u>31</u>	<u>62</u>
<b>Loss before tax</b>	5 (3,689)	<u>(4,893)</u>
<b>Loss for the period</b>	<u>(3,689)</u>	<u>(4,893)</u>
Basic and diluted loss per share	16 (0.03)	(0.05)
<b>Consolidated statement of recognised income and expense</b>		
Foreign exchange translation differences recognised directly in equity: - in respect of translation of foreign operations and in respect of presentation of financial statements in United States dollars	(1,036)	45
<b>Loss for the period</b>	<u>(3,689)</u>	<u>(4,893)</u>
<b>Total recognised income and expense for the period</b>	<u>(4,725)</u>	<u>(4,848)</u>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Consolidated statement of cash flows (Expressed in United States dollars)

#### For the year ended 31 December, 2008

	<i>Note</i>	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Operating loss before financing costs		(3,720)	(4,955)
Adjustments for:			
Depreciation		55	45
Exchange difference		34	253
Equity-settled share-based payment transactions		542	1,121
		<u>(3,089)</u>	<u>(3,536)</u>
Change in trade and other receivables	12	(68)	(471)
Change in trade and other payables	18	10	(37)
<b>Net cash used in operating activities</b>		<u>(3,147)</u>	<u>(4,044)</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities</b>			
Interest received	7	31	62
Acquisition of intangibles	10	(2,651)	(3,182)
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	9	(48)	(95)
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>		<u>(2,668)</u>	<u>(3,215)</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities</b>			
Proceeds from issue of share capital	14,15	3,451	7,404
Bank overdraft/(Overdraft repayment)		-	(6)
<b>Net cash from financing activities</b>		<u>3,451</u>	<u>7,398</u>
<b>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>			
Cash and cash equivalents at 1 January		3,134	3,193
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash held		(17)	(198)
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at 31 December</b>	19	<u>753</u>	<u>3,134</u>

# Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

## Notes to the consolidated financial statements

### 1. Reporting entity

Arian Silver Corporation (the “Company”) is a company domiciled in the British Virgin Islands. The consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended 31 December, 2008 comprise the Company and its subsidiaries (together referred to as the “Group”). The Group is primarily involved in the acquisition and development of mineral resource assets.

The comparative figures used are for the year ended 31 December, 2007.

### 2. Basis of preparation

#### (a) Going concern and adequacy of project finance

Since the Company is at an early stage of development, it has in the past raised funds in several discrete tranches, which is a common practice for junior mineral exploration companies. Although the Company has been successful in the past in raising equity finance, there can be no assurance that the funding required by the Company will be made available to it when needed or, if such funding were to be available, that it would be offered on reasonable terms. The terms of such financing might not be favourable to the Company and might involve substantial dilution to existing shareholders.

The Company will require additional funding in the future in order to progress exploration programmes on its mineral projects, to meet property option payments, for development and for general working capital requirements. Sources of funds currently available to the Company are through the issue of equity capital, the sale of its interests in one or more of its projects, by way of project joint ventures or business combinations.

As described in note 22 “Post-Balance Sheet Events” the Company has completed a share exchange transaction with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd (“Grafton”) which transaction has been designed to provide new funding for the Company. It is currently anticipated that such cash funding for the Company will arise from the placing of the 128,591 Grafton shares that have been issued to the Company following the listing of Grafton on the Dublin Stock Exchange, which should take place in the near future. The principals of Grafton and their associates have experience in providing funding for junior mining and exploration companies using this type of transaction and the Company is reliant on them being able to replicate this experience to provide new funding required by the Company.

Grafton has provided a \$300,000 short term advance to the Company to be repaid out of the proceeds of the above funding. The directors of the Company have requested a further short term advance of \$250,000 for working capital purposes, which Grafton has confirmed will be forthcoming.

In addition, the Company has accumulated IVA (sales tax) on past exploration expenditure in Mexico which amounted to \$581,000 at 31 December, 2008. IVA is generally repaid in instalments by the Mexican tax authorities. However, there is no certainty as to the timing of future repayments of this IVA debtor.

Based on current expectations, the directors of the Company currently believe it appropriate to prepare the Company’s financial statements on a going concern basis. However, if funding from the transaction with Grafton does not meet current expectations in terms of amount raised and timing the Company may not be able to meet its ongoing working-capital and project expenditure requirements. If these circumstances arose then there would be significant doubt on the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern and the carrying value of the Group’s exploration projects would be impaired.

#### (b) Use of estimates and judgement

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRSs”) requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of accounting policies and the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, income and expenses. The estimates and associated assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making the judgements about carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised if the revision affects only that period, or in the period of the revision and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods.



## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Information about such judgements and estimates is contained in the accounting policies and/or the notes to the consolidated financial statements. Areas of judgement that have the most significant effect on the accounts recognised in the consolidated financial statements:

- Capitalisation and impairment of exploration and evaluation costs – Notes 3e, 3g, 10
- Estimation of share based payment costs – Notes 3h, 17

#### (c) Statement of compliance

The consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2008 have been prepared in accordance with IFRSs and its interpretations adopted by the International Accounting Standards Board (“IASB”) in force at the reporting date. The Company has not adopted any standards or interpretations in advance of the required implementation dates.

The Directors are in the process of evaluating the impact of standards and/or interpretations that have not yet become effective. Listed below are those standards and/or interpretations most likely to impact the Group:

IAS 1 Presentation of Financial Statements (revised 2007) (effective 1 January 2009)  
IAS 23 Borrowing Costs (revised 2007) (effective 1 January 2009)  
IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements (Revised 2008) (effective 1 July 2009)  
Amendment to IFRS 2 Share-based Payment - Vesting Conditions and Cancellations (effective 1 January 2009)  
IFRS 3 Business Combinations (Revised 2008) (effective 1 July 2009)  
IFRS 8 Operating Segments (effective 1 January 2009)

It is felt that these standards and/or interpretations are unlikely to have a material impact on the Group’s earnings or shareholders’ funds.

The amendment to IAS 1 Financial statement presentation released in September 2007 redefines the primary statements and expands on certain disclosures within these. Once adopted the Group’s primary statements will be amended to reflect the presentation required.

The accounts were approved by the board and authorised for issue on 27 April, 2009.

#### (d) Functional and presentation currency

These consolidated financial statements are presented in United States dollars as the Company believes it to be the most meaningful currency for investors. The functional currencies of the Company and its subsidiary are Sterling and Mexican Peso respectively.

### 3. Significant accounting policies

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements and have been applied consistently by Group entities.

#### (a) Basis of consolidation

##### (i) Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities controlled by the Company. Control exists when the Company has the power, directly or indirectly, to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. In assessing control, potential voting rights that presently are exercisable or convertible are taken into account. The financial statements of subsidiaries are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date that control commences until the date that control ceases.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements**

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### **(ii) *Transactions eliminated on consolidation***

Intra-group balances and any unrealised gains, losses, income or expenses arising from intra-group transactions are eliminated in preparing the consolidated financial statements.

#### **(b) Foreign currency**

##### **(i) *Foreign currency transactions***

The assets and liabilities of foreign operations, including goodwill and fair value adjustments arising on consolidation, are translated to United States dollars at exchange rates ruling at the balance sheet date. The revenues and expenses of operations and net investments in subsidiaries are translated to United States dollars at rates approximating to the exchange rates ruling at the dates of the transactions. The financial statements of the parent company are presented in United States dollars on the same basis. Foreign exchange differences arising on translation and presentation are recognised directly as a separate component of equity. Exchange differences relating to the translation of an overseas operation are released into the income statement upon disposal of that subsidiary.

##### **(ii) *Financial statements of operations***

The assets and liabilities of operations, including goodwill and fair value adjustments arising on consolidation, are translated to United States dollars at exchange rates ruling at the balance sheet date. The revenues and expenses of operations and net investments in subsidiaries are translated to United States dollars at rates approximating to the exchange rates ruling at the dates of the transactions. Foreign exchange differences arising on retranslation are recognised directly as a separate component of equity. They are released into the income statement upon disposal.

Further foreign exchange differences arise from the translation required for the presentation of the financial statements in United States dollars. This is recognised directly as a separate component of equity.

#### **(c) Financial instruments**

##### **(i) *Non-derivative financial instruments***

Non-derivative financial instruments comprise trade and other receivables, cash and cash equivalents and trade and other payables.

Non-derivative financial instruments are recognised initially at fair value plus, for instruments not at fair value through profit or loss, any directly attributable transaction costs, except as described below. Subsequent to initial recognition, non-derivative financial instruments are measured as described below. At 31 December, 2008 the fair value equated to the historical cost for all non-derivative instruments.

A financial instrument is recognised if the Group becomes a party to the contractual provisions of the instrument. Financial assets are derecognised if the Group's contractual rights to the cash flows from the financial assets expire or if the Group transfers the financial asset to another party without retaining control or substantially all risks and rewards of the asset. Regular purchases and sales of financial assets are accounted for at trade date, i.e. the date that the Group commits itself to purchase or sell the asset. Financial liabilities are derecognised if the Group's obligations specified in the contract expire or are discharged or cancelled.

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash balances and call deposits.

##### **(ii) *Share capital – Common shares***

Incremental costs directly attributable to the issue of common shares and share options are recognised as a deduction from equity.

#### **(d) Property, plant and equipment**

##### **(i) *Recognition and measurement***

Items of property, plant and equipment are measured at cost less accumulated depreciation and impairment losses.

Cost includes expenditures that are directly attributable to the acquisition of the asset. The cost of self-constructed assets includes the cost of materials and direct labour, any other costs directly attributable to bringing the asset to a working condition for its intended use, and the estimated costs of dismantling and removing the items and restoring the site on which

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

they are located. Purchased software that is integral to the functionality of the related equipment is capitalised as part of that equipment.

When parts of an item of property, plant and equipment have different useful lives, they are accounted for as separate items (major components) of property, plant and equipment.

#### *(ii) Subsequent costs*

The Group recognises in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment the cost of replacing part of such an item when that cost is incurred if it is probable that the future economic benefits embodied with the item will flow to the Group and the cost of the item can be measured reliably. The costs of the day-to-day servicing of property, plant and equipment are recognised in profit or loss as incurred.

#### *(iii) Depreciation*

Depreciation is charged to the income statement on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of each part of an item of property, plant and equipment. Land is not depreciated. The estimated useful lives for the current and comparative periods are as follows:

- |                         |         |
|-------------------------|---------|
| • office equipment      | 3 years |
| • fixtures and fittings | 3 years |
| • plant and equipment   | 5 years |
| • motor vehicles        | 4 years |

The residual value, if not insignificant, is reassessed annually.

#### **(e) Intangible assets**

##### *(i) Goodwill*

All business combinations are accounted for by applying the purchase method. Goodwill arises on the acquisition of subsidiaries, associates and joint ventures. Goodwill represents the difference between the cost of the acquisition and the fair value of the net identifiable assets acquired.

Goodwill is stated at cost less any accumulated impairment losses. Goodwill is allocated to cash-generating units and is tested annually for impairment (see accounting policy g). Goodwill arising on acquisition is capitalised and shown within fixed assets. The excess of net assets over consideration paid on an acquisition (negative goodwill) is recognised directly in profit or loss.

##### *(ii) Deferred exploration and evaluation costs*

These comprise costs directly incurred in exploration and evaluation as well as the cost of mineral licences. They are capitalised as intangible assets pending the determination of the feasibility of the project. When the decision is taken to develop a mine the related intangible assets are transferred to property, plant and equipment and the exploration and evaluation costs are amortised over the estimated life of the project. Where a project is abandoned or is determined not economically viable, the related costs are written off.

The recoverability of deferred exploration and evaluation costs is dependent upon a number of factors common to the natural resource sector. These include the extent to which a Company can establish mineral reserves on its properties, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete the development of such reserves and future profitable production or proceeds from the disposition thereof.

#### **(f) Leased assets**

Leases under which the Group assumes substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are classified as finance leases. Upon initial recognition the leased asset is measured at an amount equal to the lower of its fair value and the present value of the minimum lease payments. Subsequent to initial recognition, the asset is accounted for in accordance with the accounting policy applicable to that asset.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements**

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### **(g) Impairment**

The carrying amounts of the Group's assets are reviewed at each balance sheet date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, the asset's recoverable amount is estimated.

#### **(h) Share-based payment transactions**

The share option programme allows Group directors, officers, employees and consultants to acquire shares of the Company. The fair value of options granted is recognised as an expense with a corresponding increase in equity. The fair value is measured at grant date and spread over the period until the options vest unconditionally. The fair value of the options granted is measured using the Black-Scholes model, taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options were granted. The amount recognised as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share options that vest, except if the change is due to market based conditions not being satisfied.

#### **(i) Provisions**

A provision is recognised in the balance sheet when the Group has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of a past event and it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation. If the effect is material, provisions are determined by discounting the expected future cash flows at a pre-tax rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and, where appropriate, the risks specific to the liability.

#### **(j) Finance income and expenses**

Finance income comprises interest income on funds invested and foreign currency gains. Interest income is recognised as it accrues, using the effective interest method.

Finance expenses comprise interest expense on borrowings and foreign currency losses. All borrowing costs are recognised in profit or loss using the effective interest method.

#### **(k) Income tax expense**

Income tax expense comprises current and deferred tax.

Income tax expense is recognised in profit or loss except to the extent that it relates to items recognised directly in equity, in which case it is recognised in equity.

Current tax is the expected tax payable on the taxable income for the year, using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the reporting date, and any adjustment to tax payable in respect of previous years.

Deferred tax is recognised using the balance sheet method, providing for temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amount used for taxation purposes. Deferred tax is not recognised for the initial recognition of goodwill, the initial recognition of assets or liabilities in a transaction that is not a business combination and that affects neither accounting nor taxable profit, and differences relating to investments in subsidiaries that will not reverse in the foreseeable future. Deferred tax is measured at the tax rates that are expected to be applied to the temporary differences when they reverse, based on the laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted by the reporting date.

A deferred tax asset is recognised to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will be available against which the temporary difference can be utilised. Deferred tax assets are reviewed at each reporting date and are reduced to the extent that it is no longer probable that the related tax benefit will be realised.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### (l) Earnings per share

The Group presents basic and diluted earnings per share (“EPS”) data for its common shares. Basic EPS is calculated by dividing the profit or loss attributable to common shareholders of the Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted EPS is determined by adjusting the profit or loss attributable to common shareholders and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the effects of all potentially dilutive common shares, which comprise warrants and share options.

#### (m) Segment reporting

A segment is a distinguishable component of the Group that is engaged either in providing related products or services (business segment), or in providing products or services within a particular economic environment (geographical segment), which is subject to risks and rewards that are different from those of other segments. The Group’s primary format for segment reporting is based on business segments.

#### 4. Segment reporting

Segment information is presented in respect of the Group’s business and geographical segments. The primary format, business segments, is based on the Group’s management and internal reporting structure.

Inter-segment pricing is determined on an arm’s length basis.

Segment results, assets and liabilities include items directly attributable to a segment as well as those that can be allocated on a reasonable basis. Unallocated items comprise mainly income-earning assets and revenue, interest-bearing loans, borrowings and expenses, and corporate assets and expenses.

Segment capital expenditure is the total cost incurred during the period to acquire segment assets that are expected to be used for more than one period.

#### (i) Business segments

The Group’s only business segment is the exploration for, and development of, silver and associated metal deposits.

#### (ii) Geographical segments

The Group also reports by geographical segment. The exploration segment is managed in one principal geographical area, Mexico, with support provided by the UK office.

	Mexico		UK		Total	
	2008	2007	2008	2007	2008	2007
	\$’000	\$’000	\$’000	\$’000	\$’000	\$’000
Total assets	6,772	5,191	779	3,245	7,551	8,436
Capital expenditure on property, plant & equipment	3	89	45	6	48	95
Capital expenditure on intangibles	2,548	3,091	0	91	2,548	3,182

#### 5. Loss on operating activities is stated after charging:

	2008	2007
	\$’000	\$’000
Auditors remuneration		
Audit – Remuneration for audit of the Arian Silver Corporation	53	66
Audit – Remuneration for audit of the Arian Silver Mexico	6	6
Taxation	8	18
Depreciation	55	45
Exchange (loss)	(17)	(198)

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

In view of the significant volatility in currencies in the third quarter of 2008, the Directors have reviewed the presentation of intra-group foreign exchange differences in the income statement. They have concluded that it is more appropriate to present such intra-group exchange differences in the Statement of Recognised Income and Expenses and the results for the financial year reflect this presentation.

#### 6. Staff numbers and costs

The average number of persons employed by the Group (including Directors) during the year, analysed by category, was as follows:

	Number of Employees 2008	Number of Employees 2007
Finance and administration	16	23
Technical	32	27
	<b>48</b>	50

The aggregate staff costs of these persons were as follows:

	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
Wages and salaries	1,128	1,473
Social security costs	72	100
Share based payments	508	1,121
	<b>1,708</b>	2,694

#### Remuneration of key management personnel

Key management personnel remuneration is detailed below:

	2008			2007
	Salary \$'000	Fees \$'000	Total \$'000	Total \$'000
<b>Executive Directors</b>				
A J Williams	134	-	134	144
J T Williams	260	-	260	240
<b>Non-executive Directors</b>				
T A Bailey	28	-	28	30
J S Cable ( became Non-executive 22 January, 2008)	28	-	28	80
D W Cohen (retired 12 June, 2008)	14	-	14	30
J A Crombie	35	-	35	-
<b>Other key management</b>				
Country Manager – Mexico	81	-	81	110
CFO & Company Secretary	117	-	117	80
	<b>697</b>	-	<b>697</b>	714

#### 7. Finance income and expense

	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
Interest income	31	62
Net financing income	<b>31</b>	62

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### 8. Income tax expense recognised in the income statement

	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
<b>Current tax expense</b>		
Current year	-	-
Adjustments for prior years	-	-
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>Reconciliation of effective tax rate</b>		
	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
Loss before tax	(3,689)	(3,775)
Income tax using the domestic corporation tax rate of 28.5% (2007 – 30%)	(1,051)	(1,121)
Non-deductible expenses	282	290
Share options disallowed	154	-
Depreciation in excess of capital allowances	5	2
Short term timing differences	(1,314)	-
Tax losses carried forward	1,924	829
Total tax expense	<hr/> -	<hr/> -

At the year end the Group had tax losses to carry forward of approximately \$12,473,000 (2007 – \$6,789,000). This includes losses and exploration costs expensed under Mexican GAAP but capitalised under IFRS. It should be noted that recent changes in Mexican tax legislation may limit the extent and timing of the use of the Mexican allowable tax losses.

Under IFRS a net deferred tax asset of \$2,457,000 (2007 – \$1,262,000) has not been recognised due to the uncertainty as to the amount that can be utilised.

#### 9. Property, plant and equipment

	Plant and equipment	Fixtures & fittings	Vehicles	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
<b>Cost</b>				
Balance – 1 January, 2007	54	27	77	158
Additions	39	22	34	95
Balance – 31 December, 2007	<hr/> 93	<hr/> 49	<hr/> 111	<hr/> 253
Balance – 1 January, 2008	93	49	111	253
Additions	19	29	-	48
Foreign Exchange	(20)	(11)	(23)	(54)
<b>Balance – 31 December, 2008</b>	<hr/> 92	<hr/> 67	<hr/> 88	<hr/> 247
<b>Depreciation and impairment losses</b>				
Balance – 1 January, 2007	5	5	17	27
Depreciation charge for the year	13	8	24	45
Balance – 31 December, 2007	<hr/> 18	<hr/> 13	<hr/> 41	<hr/> 72
Balance – 1 January, 2008	18	13	41	72
Depreciation charge for the year	17	16	22	55
Foreign Exchange	(4)	(3)	(8)	(15)
<b>Balance – 31 December, 2008</b>	<hr/> 31	<hr/> 26	<hr/> 55	<hr/> 112

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### Carrying amounts

At 31 December, 2008

61	41	33	<b>135</b>
----	----	----	------------

At 31 December, 2007

75	36	70	181
----	----	----	-----

At 1 January, 2007

49	22	60	131
----	----	----	-----

#### 10. Intangible assets

	Goodwill	Deferred Exploration costs	Total
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
<b>Cost</b>			
Balance – 1 January, 2007	13,446	1,235	14,681
Additions	-	3,182	3,182
Foreign Exchange	-	(10)	(10)
Balance – 31 December, 2007	13,446	4,407	17,853
Balance – 1 January, 2008	13,446	4,407	<b>17,853</b>
Additions	-	2,651	<b>2,651</b>
Writedown	-	(103)	<b>(103)</b>
Foreign Exchange	-	(917)	<b>(917)</b>
<b>Balance – 31 December, 2008</b>	<b>13,446</b>	<b>6,038</b>	<b>19,484</b>

#### Impairment losses and amortisation

Balance – 1 January, 2007	(13,446)	-	(13,446)
Balance – 31 December, 2007	(13,446)	-	(13,446)
Balance – 1 January, 2008	(13,446)	-	<b>(13,446)</b>
<b>Balance – 31 December, 2008</b>	<b>(13,446)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(13,446)</b>

#### Carrying amounts

At 31 December, 2008

-	6,038	<b>6,038</b>
---	-------	--------------

At 31 December, 2007

-	4,407	4,407
---	-------	-------

At 1 January, 2007

-	1,235	1,235
---	-------	-------

The goodwill recognised in the table above is a combination of the goodwill arising on the merger with Arian Silver Corporation Limited in 2006 and the goodwill on the acquisition of Arian Silver Corporation (UK) Ltd in 2006.

The deferred exploration cost at 31 December, 2008 consisted mainly of costs relating to the three major projects as stated below:

Project	Carrying value	
	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
Calicanto	869	688
Tepal	2,105	1,394
San Jose	2,766	1,507



## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### 11. Group entities

Significant Subsidiaries	Country of incorporation and operation	Principal activity	Arian Silver Corporation effective interest	
			2008	2007
Arian Silver de Mexico S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	Exploration	100%	100%

#### 12. Trade and other receivables

	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
Trade receivables	-	6
Other receivables	598	650
Prepayments	27	58
	<b>625</b>	<b>714</b>

#### 13. Cash and cash equivalents

	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
Bank balances	140	297
Call deposits	613	2,837
Cash and cash equivalents in the statement of cash flows	<b>753</b>	<b>3,134</b>

#### 14. Share capital

##### Authorised

The Company is authorised to issue an unlimited number of common shares of no par value. Changes for the years ended 31 December, 2008 and 2007 are detailed in the following table:

##### Issued

	2008		2007	
	Number of Shares	Amount	Number of Shares	Amount
	000's	\$000's	000's	\$000's
Balance – 1 January	119,460	29,852	100,683	22,448
Shares issued for cash	29,592	3,612	5,454	2,779
Issue costs of share issuance	-	(161)	-	(95)
Exercise of warrants	-	-	12,493	4,473
Exercise of share options	-	-	830	247
<b>Balance – 31 December</b>	<b>149,052</b>	<b>33,303</b>	<b>119,460</b>	<b>29,852</b>

During the years ended 31 December, 2008 and 2007, the Company made the following share and warrant issues:

##### 2007

- 2,892,600 common shares at US\$0.175 and 9,600,000 common shares at Cdn\$0.42 in connection with warrant exercises;
- 830,000 common shares in connection with exercises of share options at GB£0.15;
- 5,454,000 common shares at Cdn\$0.55 to provide additional working capital of Cdn\$2,999,700; and
- 2,727,250 share purchase warrants exercisable for a period of 24 months at Cdn\$0.65 and subject to accelerated exercise conditions at the Company's option.

##### 2008

- 12,092,000 common shares at Cdn\$0.25 to provide additional working capital of Cdn\$3,023,000;
- 17,500,000 common shares at Cdn\$0.10 to provide additional working capital of Cdn\$1,747,000; and
- 6,046,000 share purchase warrants exercisable for a period of 18 months at Cdn\$0.35 and subject to accelerated exercise conditions at the Company's option.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

### 15. Capital and reserves

#### Reconciliation of movement in shareholders equity

	Share Capital \$000's	Share based payment Reserve \$000's	Foreign exchange translation reserve \$000's	Retained Earnings \$000's	Total \$000's
Balance – 1 January, 2007	22,448	947	(910)	(18,062)	4,423
Shares issued for cash	2,779	-	-	-	2,779
Share issue costs	(95)	-	-	-	(95)
Fair value of share options	-	1,121	-	-	1,121
Proceeds from options exercised	247	-	-	-	247
Proceeds from warrants exercised	4,473	-	-	-	4,473
Net loss	-	-	-	(4,893)	(4,893)
Foreign exchange gain	-	-	45	-	45
Balance – 31 December, 2007	29,852	2,068	(865)	(22,955)	8,100
Shares issued for cash	3,612	-	-	-	3,612
Share issue costs	(161)	-	-	-	(161)
Fair value of share options	-	542	-	-	542
Lapsed share options	-	(426)	-	426	-
Net loss	-	-	-	(3,689)	(3,689)
Foreign exchange	-	-	(1,108)	-	(1,108)
<b>Balance – 31 December, 2008</b>	<b>33,303</b>	<b>2,184</b>	<b>(1,973)</b>	<b>(26,218)</b>	<b>7,296</b>

No apportionment of fair value has been made to the warrants issued in conjunction with common share issues in years to 31 December, 2008 and 2007 as this represents an allocation between non distributable reserves.

	Common shares	
	2008 000's	2007 000's
Balance – 1 January	119,460	100,683
Issued for cash	29,592	18,777
<b>Balance – 31 December – fully paid</b>	<b>149,052</b>	<b>119,460</b>

At 31 December, 2008 and 2007, the authorised share capital comprised an unlimited number of common shares of no par value.

#### Translation reserve

This translation reserve comprises all exchange differences arising from the translation of the financial statements of operations.

The translation reserve comprises both foreign exchange differences arising on the translation of amounts relating to overseas operations and the presentation of the financial statements in United States dollars.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### 16. Loss per share

##### Basic loss per share

The calculation of basic loss per share at 31 December, 2008 was based on the loss attributable to common shareholders of \$3,689,000 (2007 – \$4,893,000) and a weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year ended 31 December, 2008 of 130,045,827 (2007 – 107,957,205).

##### Diluted Loss per share

The potential increase in common shares from the exercise of any outstanding share purchase warrants or share options would be anti-dilutive as the Company has a net loss. These potential common shares are therefore excluded from the calculation and the diluted loss per share figure reported is the same as the basic earnings per share.

##### Warrants

A summary of the changes in the Company's share purchase warrants for the years ended 31 December, 2008 and 2007 is set out below:

	2008		2007	
	Warrants outstanding (000's)	Weighted average exercise price (\$)	Warrants outstanding (000's)	Weighted average exercise price (\$)
Balance – 1 January	2,727	0.61	17,161	0.33
Exercised	-	-	(9,600)	(0.39)
Exercised	-	-	(2,893)	(0.175)
Lapsed	-	-	(4,460)	(0.39)
Lapsed	-	-	(208)	(0.60)
Issued	6,046	0.35	2,727	0.61
<b>Balance – 31 December</b>	<b>8,773</b>	<b>0.43</b>	<b>2,727</b>	<b>0.61</b>

Warrants in issue at 31 December 2008:

Shares issuable on exercise	Exercise price	Expiry
2,727,250	Cdn\$0.65	1 August, 2009
6,046,000	Cdn\$0.35	29 November, 2009

Note 22 "Post Balance Sheet Events" sets out details of variations to the terms of the warrants outstanding at 31 December 2008.

#### 17. Share-based payment transactions

The Company currently has in place an incentive share option plan (the "Plan") covering Directors, officers, employees and consultants of the Company and its subsidiary companies. The exercise price of a future option grant will be determined by the Board of Directors on the basis of the closing market price of the Company's shares on the trading day prior to the date of issue of the option. Options may be granted for a period of up to ten years and the Board of Directors determines the vesting provisions of each option granted, which may vary. The aggregate number of shares which may be issued and sold under the Plan may not exceed 10% of issued share capital. As at 31 December, 2008 a total of 2,865,197 options remained available for grant under the Plan.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

The number and weighted average exercise prices of share options for the years ended 31 December, 2008 and 2007 is set out below:

	2008		2007	
	Out-standing (000's)	Weighted average exercise price (\$)	Out-standing (000's)	Weighted average exercise price (\$)
Balance – 1 January	10,535	0.41	6,180	0.29
Issued	3,380	0.22	500	0.52
Issued	113	0.28	255	0.45
Issued	112	0.38	200	0.68
Issued	113	0.47	4,055	0.54
Issued	112	0.57	200	0.42
Exercised	-	-	(830)	(0.30)
Lapsed	(150)	(0.22)	(25)	(0.54)
Lapsed	(870)	(0.28)	-	-
Lapsed	(200)	(0.37)	-	-
Lapsed	(180)	(0.42)	-	-
Lapsed	(375)	(0.50)	-	-
Lapsed	(350)	(0.50)	-	-
Lapsed	(200)	(0.63)	-	-
<b>Balance – 31 December</b>	<b>12,040</b>	<b>0.36</b>	10,535	0.41

Share options in issue at 31 December 2008:

Outstanding shares	Exercise price	Expiry
4,130,000	£0.15	31 January, 2009
500,000	£0.245/Cdn\$0.56	22 February, 2010
75,000	£0.225/Cdn\$0.56	27 February, 2010
3,655,000	£0.27/Cdn\$0.57	13 June, 2010
3,230,000	£0.12/Cdn\$0.25	4 June, 2013
112,500	Cdn\$0.30	19 June, 2010
112,500	Cdn\$0.40	19 June, 2010
112,500	Cdn\$0.50	19 June, 2010
112,500	Cdn\$0.60	19 June, 2010

The weighted average remaining contractual life of share options as at 31 December, 2008 was 645 days.

Share options held by senior management and employees at 31 December 2008:

Holder	Shares	Exercise price	Grant Date	Vesting Date	Expiry
A Williams	750,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 October, 2008	4 June, 2013
	1,000,000	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 June, 2007	13 June, 2010
	1,200,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009
J Williams	750,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 October, 2008	4 June, 2013
	1,000,000	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 June, 2007	13 June, 2010
	1,200,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009
J Cable	400,000	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 June, 2007	13 June, 2010
	400,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009
T Bailey	400,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

J Crombie	500,000	£0.245	23 February, 2007	23 February, 2007	22 February, 2010
Senior management	1,000,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 October, 2008	4 June, 2013
	500,000	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 June, 2007	13 June, 2010
	500,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009
Other employees	90,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 June, 2009	4 June, 2013
	90,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 December, 2008	4 June, 2013
	37,500	£0.225	28 February, 2007	28 December, 2007	27 February, 2010
	37,500	£0.225	28 February, 2007	28 June, 2007	27 February, 2010
	127,500	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 April, 2008	13 June, 2010
	127,500	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 October, 2007	13 June, 2010
	65,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	1 February, 2007	31 January, 2009
	65,000	£0.15	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009
Former employees	100,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 June, 2009	4 June, 2013
	100,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 December, 2008	4 June, 2013
	350,000	£0.12	5 June, 2008	5 October, 2008	4 June, 2013
	250,000	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 April, 2008	13 June, 2010
	250,000	£0.27	14 June, 2007	14 October, 2007	13 June, 2010
	150,000	£0.27	1 February, 2006	1 February, 2007	31 January, 2009
	150,000	£0.27	1 February, 2006	15 June, 2006	31 January, 2009

### Share options held by consultants and third parties at 31 December 2008:

Consultants	75,000	Cdn\$0.60	20 June, 2008	20 June, 2009	19 June, 2010
	75,000	Cdn\$0.50	20 June, 2008	20 March, 2009	19 June, 2010
	75,000	Cdn\$0.40	20 June, 2008	20 December, 2009	19 June, 2010
	75,000	Cdn\$0.30	20 June, 2008	20 September, 2009	19 June, 2010
	37,500	Cdn\$0.60	20 June, 2008	20 June, 2009	19 June, 2010
	37,500	Cdn\$0.50	20 June, 2008	20 March, 2009	19 June, 2010
	37,500	Cdn\$0.40	20 June, 2008	20 December, 2009	19 June, 2010
	37,500	Cdn\$0.30	20 June, 2008	20 September, 2009	19 June, 2010

### Fair value of share options and assumptions

The fair value of services received in return for share options granted are measured by reference to the fair value of share options granted. The estimate of the fair value of the services received is measured based on the Black-Scholes model. The following inputs were used in the calculation of the fair value of the share options granted during the period.

	2008	2007
Fair value	\$2,610,000	\$2,068,000
Share price 31 December, 2007	-	\$0.525
Share price 31 December, 2008	\$0.06	-
Exercise price (expressed as weighted average)	\$0.25	\$0.40
Expected volatility (expressed as weighted average volatility used in the modelling under Black-Scholes model)	62%	60%
Option life (expressed as weighted average life used in the modelling under Black-Scholes model)	2-5 yrs	2-3 yrs
Expected dividends	0%	0%
Risk-free interest rate (based on national government bonds)	4.75%	4.75%

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

The expected volatility is based on the historical share price of the Arian Silver Corporation. There are no market conditions associated with the share option grants. The fair value of stock options granted for the year ended 31 December, 2008 was \$542,000 (2007 – \$1,121,000) which was expensed in the income statement.

	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
<b>Share option expenses</b>		
Share options granted in 2007	-	1,121
Share options granted in 2008	542	-
Total expense recognised in administrative expenses	<u>542</u>	<u>1,121</u>

	2008	2007
	\$'000	\$'000
<b>18. Trade and other payables</b>		
Payables due to related parties	31	134
Trade payables	72	88
Other payables	152	114
	<u>255</u>	<u>336</u>

### 19. Financial instruments

Exposure to interest rate and currency risks arises in the normal course of the Group's business. Derivative financial instruments are not used to hedge exposure to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates and interest rates.

The Group's policy is to retain its surplus funds on short term deposits, usually between one week and four weeks duration, at prevailing market rates. Credit risk is managed by ensuring that surplus funds are only deposited with well established financial institutions of high quality credit standing.

#### Effective interest rates and deposit terms

In respect of income-earning financial assets the following table indicates their effective interest rates at the balance sheet date and the deposit terms:

	2008			2007		
	Effective interest rate	Total \$'000	Six months or less \$'000	Effective interest rate	Total \$'000	Six months or less \$'000
Call Deposits	1.43%	613	613	3.90%	2,837	2,837
		<u>613</u>	<u>613</u>		<u>2,837</u>	<u>2,837</u>

#### Foreign currency risk

The Group's exploration expenditure is made in Mexico in Mexican Peso and head office expenses are predominantly made in London in Pounds Sterling and United States dollars. The Group is therefore exposed to the movement in exchange rates for these currencies. The Group does not currently hedge foreign exchange risk.

As a result of Canadian dollar share placements, at the year end the majority of the Group's cash resources were held in Canadian dollars. The Group therefore also has downside exposure to any strengthening of the Pound Sterling, Mexican Peso or United States dollar against the Canadian dollar as this would increase expenses in Canadian dollar terms and accelerate the depletion of the Group's cash resources. Any weakening of the Pound Sterling, Mexican Peso or United States dollar against the Canadian dollar would, however, result in a reduction in expenses in Canadian dollar terms and preserve the Group's cash resources.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

In addition, any movements in Pound Sterling, Mexican Peso or Canadian dollar would affect the presentation of the Consolidated Balance Sheet when the net assets of the Mexican subsidiary and parent company in the UK are translated from their functional currencies into United States dollars.

In view of the potential significance of any strengthening of the Pound Sterling, Mexican Peso or United States dollar against the Canadian dollar, the policy of holding the majority of the Group's cash resources in Canadian dollars is kept under review.

There is not considered to be any material exposure in respect of other monetary assets and liabilities of the Group as these are of a short-term nature. The table below shows an analysis of cash and cash equivalents denominated by currency.

	Cash Held 2008 \$'000	Cash Held 2007 \$'000
Pounds Sterling	(8)	52
United States Dollars	2	191
Canadian Dollars	654	2,882
Mexican Pesos	105	9
	<b>753</b>	<b>3,134</b>

#### Fair values

It is the directors' opinion that the carrying values of the cash and cash equivalents, the other receivables and all trade and other payables in the balance sheet represent their fair values.

#### Capital Management

The Group's objective when managing capital is to safeguard the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, and develop its mining activities to provide returns for shareholders and benefits for other stakeholders.

The Group's capital structure comprises all components of equity (i.e. common share capital, share premium, retained earnings and other reserves.) At 31 December, 2008 the Group had no debt. When considering the future capital requirements of the Group and the potential to fund specific project development via debt the Directors consider the risk characteristics of all of the underlying assets in assessing the optimal capital structure.

#### Sensitivity Analysis

The Company has held significant Canadian dollar cash balances as its recent equity fund raisings have been in this currency. Also, its main assets and subsidiaries are held in Mexico and having a functional currency different than the presentation currency (note 2(e)), the Group's balance sheet can be affected significantly by movements in the United States dollar to the Canadian dollar and Mexico Peso.

Foreign currency risk sensitivity analysis:

	Profit/Loss		Equity	
	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000	2008 \$'000	2007 \$'000
If there was a 10% weakening of Mexican Peso against US\$ with all other variables held constant – increase/(decrease)	(38)	-	(789)	(86)
If there was a 10% strengthening of Mexican Peso against US\$ with all other variables held constant – increase/(decrease)	47	-	965	106
If there was a 10% weakening of Canadian Dollar against US\$ with all other variables held constant – increase/(decrease)	(7)	(20)	(65)	(279)
If there was a 10% strengthening of Canadian Dollar against US\$ with all other variables held constant – increase/(decrease)	7	20	65	279

## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

#### 20. Future project expenditure

The Company does not have any capital commitments at 31 December, 2008 (2007 – nil).

The Company does not have any exploration and development expenditure commitments in respect of its projects. However, the following are the material payments that will need to be made in order to maintain certain properties in good standing:

(a) In relation to the Tepal option agreement the Company is required to pay the vendor \$5 million in instalments over the five-year period through to June 2011 and will also grant the vendor a Net Smelter Return (“NSR”) of 2.5%. The Company has the right of first refusal to buy out the NSR for an unspecified amount. At 31 December, 2008, \$1,300,000 had been paid. The next option instalment of \$500,000 is due in June 2009.

(b) In relation to the San Jose option agreement the Company is required to pay the vendor \$1.5 million in instalments over the three-year period through to December 2009 and will also grant the vendor a NSR of 2%. At 31 December, 2008, \$745,000 had been paid.

The Company has the right to withdraw from the option agreements relating to Tepal and San Jose at any time during the term of each option without financial penalty.

#### 21. Related parties

##### Control of the Group

In the opinion of the Directors, at 31 December, 2008 there was no ultimate controlling entity of the Group.

##### Identity of related parties

The Group has a related party relationship with its subsidiaries and with its Directors and executive officers.

##### Transactions with key management personnel

At 31 December, 2008 the Directors of the Company and their immediate relatives controlled 6.9% per cent of the voting shares of the Company.

During the year ended 31 December, 2008 the Company entered into the following transactions involving related parties:

(a) Companies in the Dragon Group charged the Company a total of \$449,147 (2007 – \$934,859) in respect of the provision of support services and accommodation as well as for reimbursable expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. Tony Williams, Chairman and a director of the Company, beneficially owns the Dragon Group. At 31 December, 2008 \$30,746 (2007 - \$nil) was outstanding.

(b) Kopane Diamond Developments PLC (“KDD”) charged the Company a total of \$18,374 (2007 – \$105,386) in respect of the reimbursement of certain directors’ remuneration paid on behalf of the Company. The Company charged KDD \$24,523 (2007 – nil) for the provision of accounting support services. James Cable and Tony Williams are Directors of the Company and KDD. At 31 December, 2008 \$nil (2007 - \$nil) was outstanding to KDD. However, \$10,111 (2007 - \$nil) was outstanding from KDD.

Transactions with subsidiaries were as follows:

The Company made loans to Arian Silver de Mexico S.A. de C.V. (“Arian Mexico”) of \$2,813,992 (2007 – \$4,332,246) and paid charges on behalf of Arian Mexico of \$138,821 (2007 – \$40,849). A management fee of \$7,240 was also charged to Arian Mexico (2007 – \$10,352).

These transactions, occurring in the normal course of operations, are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

Executive officers also participate in the Group’s share option programme as disclosed in note 17.

Key management personnel compensation is disclosed in note 6.



## Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Directors interests in the common shares of the Company as at 31 December, 2008 and 2007:

	2008	2007
A J Williams	2,000,000	2,000,000
J T Williams	6,800,000	6,800,000
J A Crombie	1,500,000	Nil

During the year, the only change in the Directors' share interests was the purchase of 1,500,000 common shares of the Company by J A Crombie.

## 22. Post-balance sheet events

### Transactions with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd (“Grafton”)

On 27 January, and 5 February, 2009, the Company announced the terms of a proposed share exchange transaction with Grafton. The transaction comprised two parts:

#### *Part 1*

On 26 February, 2009 the Company announced that it had issued 26,097,230 common shares to Grafton at Cdn\$0.055 per share (equating to approximately Cdn\$1,435,347) and Grafton had issued to the Company 26,322 participating shares (equating to approximately Cdn\$1,435,347 determined by reference to the net asset value per Grafton ordinary share of \$43.98 as at the close of business on 30 January, 2009).

As a result of this transaction, Grafton held 14.9% of the Company's outstanding common shares calculated as at that date. Grafton also had the right to nominate a director to the Board of Directors of the Company.

#### *Part 2*

On 17 April, 2009 the Company announced that it had issued a further 82,993,679 common shares to Grafton at Cdn\$0.055 per share (equating to approximately Cdn\$4,564,653) and Grafton had issued to the Company 102,269 participating shares (equating to approximately Cdn\$4,564,653 determined by reference to the net asset value per Grafton ordinary share of \$36.93 as at the close of business on 31 March, 2009).

As a result of this transaction Grafton's interest in the Company increased to 109,090,909 common shares (approximately 42.2%).

It is planned that the 128,591 Grafton participating shares (the “Grafton Shares”) held by the Company will be placed for cash with third parties by Grafton and its associates in order to raise working capital finance for the Company. It is anticipated that the placing of the Grafton Shares will take place in the near future following the listing of Grafton on the Dublin Stock Exchange. The principals of Grafton and their associates have experience in providing funding for junior mining and exploration companies using this type of transaction and the Company is reliant on them being able to replicate this experience to provide new funding required by the Company.

As a result of these share issues the share capital of the Company comprises 258,142,878 common shares of no par value each with voting rights.

In March 2009 Grafton advanced \$300,000 to the Company for working capital purposes which enabled the Company to pay a \$250,000 option instalment due that month in relation to the San Jose Project. This advance is interest free and is repayable from the first proceeds from the disposal of the Grafton Shares held by the Company. Grafton has agreed to make available a further advance of \$250,000 in early May to the Company on similar terms for working capital purposes.

### Variation to terms of outstanding share purchase warrants

At 31 December, 2008 the Company had outstanding:

1 2,727,250 common share purchase warrants exercisable at Cdn\$0.65 per share and expiring 1 August, 2009 (the “B Warrants”); and

2 6,046,000 common share purchase warrants exercisable at Cdn\$0.35 per share and expiring 29 November, 2009 (the “C Warrants”).

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Financial Statements**

### Notes to the consolidated financial statements

On 21 April, 2009 the Company announced that warrant holders had approved proposals to vary the terms of the B and C warrants (the “Warrants Variation”) as follows:

(a) re-pricing 1,363,625 of the B Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.07 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on the date that is three months following receipt by the Company of approval of the Warrants Variation provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSX Venture Exchange (“TSXV”) exceeds Cdn\$0.0875 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day;

(b) re-pricing 1,363,625 of the B Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.10 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on 31 December, 2009 provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSXV exceeds Cdn\$0.125 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day.

(c) re-pricing 3,023,000 of the C Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.07 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on the date that is three months following receipt by the Company of approval of the Warrants Variation provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSXV exceeds Cdn\$0.0875 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day; and

(d) re-pricing 3,023,000 of the C Warrants so that they are exercisable at Cdn\$0.10 per share and varying the exercise period of such warrants so that they expire on 31 December, 2009 provided that where the closing price of the common shares of the Company listed on the TSXV exceeds Cdn\$0.125 for any period of ten (10) consecutive trading days (the “Premium Trading Days”), the expiry date of such warrants shall be accelerated such that these warrants will expire at 5:00 p.m. (London, United Kingdom time) on the 30th calendar day after the date which is seven (7) calendar day after the 10th Premium Trading Day.

### **San Jose Project**

In March 2009 the Company renegotiated the terms of the San Jose Project option agreement such that Company’s interest has been converted to an ownership interest in the San Jose Project. Subject to completion of legal and registration formalities Arian will own a 66.67% controlling interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions with the right to take 100% ownership on payment of the final instalment of \$500,000 which is due in December 2009. Under the original terms of the option agreement Arian would have only obtained an ownership interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions on completion of all option payments and would have forfeited all rights in the event of failure to pay any instalment.