



**ARIAN SILVER CORPORATION**

**Management's Discussion and Analysis  
of the Financial Condition and Results of Operations**

**For the Three Months ended 31 March, 2009  
(In thousands of U.S. dollars)**

## **COMPANY INFORMATION**

### **DIRECTORS**

Anthony (Tony) J. Williams, *Chairman and Director*  
James (Jim) T. Williams, *Chief Executive Officer and Director*  
Thomas A. Bailey, *Director*  
James S. Cable, *Director*  
James A. Crombie, *Director*

### **COMPANY SECRETARY AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**

Graham A. Potts

### **HEAD OFFICE**

Carlyle House  
235-237 Vauxhall Bridge Road  
London, SW1V 1EJ  
United Kingdom  
Tel: +44 (0)20 7963 8670  
Fax: +44 (0)20 7963 8674

### **REGISTERED OFFICE**

Palm Grove House  
P.O. Box 3190  
Road Town, Tortola  
British Virgin Islands

### **NOMINATED ADVISER**

Grant Thornton UK LLP  
30 Finsbury Square  
London, EC2P 2YU

### **BROKER**

Haywood Securities (UK) Ltd  
Ryder Court  
14 Ryder Street  
London, SW1Y 6QB

### **AUDITORS**

PKF (UK) LLP  
Farringdon Place  
20 Farringdon Road  
London, EC1M 3AP

### **REGISTRAR**

Computershare Investor Services  
100 University Avenue  
Toronto, Ontario, M5J 2Y1  
Canada

### **STOCK EXCHANGES**

AIM Market of the London Stock Exchange  
TSX Venture Exchange  
Frankfurt Stock Exchange

### **TRADING SYMBOLS**

AIM: AGQ (stock is quoted in Pounds Sterling)  
TSX-V: AGQ (stock is quoted in Canadian Dollars)  
Frankfurt: I3A (stock is quoted in Euros)

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

*This Management’s Discussion and Analysis (“MD&A”) has been prepared based on information available to Arian Silver Corporation (“Arian” or the “Company”) as at 26 May 2009 and compares its first quarter 2009 financial results with the equivalent period of the previous year. This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the Company’s unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes. The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. All dollar amounts referred to in this discussion and analysis are expressed in United States dollars, unless specifically stated otherwise.*

---

### **MANAGEMENT’S RESPONSIBILITY FOR FINANCIAL REPORTING AND CONTROLS**

The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company for the three months ended 31 March, 2009 and the comparative amounts for 2008 have been prepared by management of the Company (“Management”) in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (“IFRS”) and have been approved by the Company’s Board of Directors (the “Board”). The integrity and objectivity of these unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements are the responsibility of Management. In addition, Management is responsible for ensuring that the information contained in this MD&A is consistent, where appropriate, with the information contained in the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

In support of this responsibility, Management maintains a system of internal accounting and administrative controls to provide reasonable assurance that the financial information is relevant, reliable and accurate and that the Company’s assets are appropriately accounted for and adequately safeguarded. When alternative accounting methods exist, Management has chosen those methods it deems most appropriate in the circumstances. The unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements may contain certain amounts based on estimates and judgments. Management has determined such amounts on a reasonable basis to ensure that the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements are presented fairly in all material respects.

The Board is responsible for ensuring that Management fulfils its responsibilities for financial reporting and internal controls. The Board carries out this responsibility principally through its audit committee. The audit committee is appointed by the Board and its members are not involved in the Company’s daily operations. The audit committee meets periodically with Management and the external auditor to discuss internal controls over the financial reporting process, auditing matters and financial reporting issues, to satisfy itself that each party is properly discharging its responsibilities.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The Company’s 2008 Annual MD&A contained information available to the Company as at 27 April 2009 and can be accessed on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or the Company’s website at [www.ariansilver.com](http://www.ariansilver.com).

The following discussion is Management’s assessment and analysis of the results and financial condition of the Company and should be read in conjunction with the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements for the three months ended 31 March, 2009 which are also available on SEDAR or on the Company’s website.

The Company is engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral resource properties in Mexico.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis

### OVERALL FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

For the quarter ended 31 March, 2009, the Company incurred a loss of \$0.5 million (2008 - \$0.9 million) which loss includes expensing the fair value of options vesting of \$16,000 (2008 - \$60,000), and other administrative expenses of \$0.5 million (2008 - \$0.8 million). There was no income other than interest of \$1,000 (2008 - \$18,000) from short term cash deposits. The Company continued to incur costs in relation to its Mexican operations and in respect of corporate overheads.

As at 31 March, 2009, intangible assets amounted to \$6.2 million (31 December, 2008 - \$6.0 million). These costs arise in respect of deferred exploration and evaluation costs related to the Mexican projects.

During the quarter the Company issued 26,097,230 common shares to Grafton Resource Investments Ltd (“Grafton”) in exchange for 26,322 participating shares in Grafton. The value of the Grafton shares is shown as an available for sale asset of \$972,000 as it is intended to dispose of this investment to generate funding for the Company (See **Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern**).

### REVIEW OF OPERATIONS

The Company owns, or has rights or options to purchase, 39 mineral concessions in Mexico totalling 21,691 hectares (“Ha”). Table 1 below shows the current property portfolio of the Company.

TABLE 1  
Property Summary

Project Name	No. Concessions	Size (Ha)
San Jose	11	6,279.5
Tepal	6	13,843.2
Calicanto	7	75.5
Others	15	1,492.8

During the period under review exploration operations in respect of its three principal projects in Mexico were largely deferred in order to preserve the Company’s cash resources pending receipt of new financing planned from the transaction with Grafton.

#### **Qualified Person**

Mr. Jim Williams, Eur Ing, Eur Geol, BSc, MSc, D.I.C., FIMMM, the Chief Executive Officer of Arian, a "Qualified Person" as defined in the AIM guidelines of the London Stock Exchange, and a "Qualified Person" as such term is defined in Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (“NI 43-101”), has reviewed and approved the technical information in this document other than the mineral resource estimates.

#### **San Jose Project, Ojocaliente District, Zacatecas State**

Following the renegotiation in March 2009 of the terms of the original option agreement, Arian will own, subject to completion of certain legal and registration formalities, a 66.67% controlling interest in the San Jose Project mineral concessions. Arian has the right to take 100% ownership of the San Jose Project mineral concessions on payment of the final instalment of \$500,000 which is due in December 2009. A 2% net smelter return (“NSR”) will be payable to the vendor which can be purchased by the Company for \$1.5 million up to December 2009.

The property lies 55 kilometres to the South-East of Zacatecas and covers 11 mining concessions totalling approximately 6,300Ha. The property has significant infrastructure,

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis

including a 4 x 4 metre ramp, which extends for nearly 3km along the footwall of the San José Vein system, and a 350m deep, 500 tonne per day, vertical shaft with hoist.

The mine was previously operated by Zimapan (Peñoles), (1973-1991), and Desarrollo Monarca, (1993-2001), extracting over 2 million tonnes of ore averaging 250g/t silver.

During the period under review the dewatering of the San Jose mine workings was ongoing and some of the previously inaccessible workings have been made safe to enter. Within these recently accessible workings it is planned to initiate a sampling programme together with a continuation of the surface drilling programme, subject to financing. In addition, the Company recommenced the submission of its stockpiled drill and channel samples for assay.

A.C.A. Howe International Limited has been commissioned to carry out an NI 43-101 independent evaluation of the economics for contract mining and custom milling following an in-house scoping study which confirmed the potential for near term contract mining of certain near surface resource blocks.

The current NI 43-101 Resources at San Jose are set out below:

Resource Category	Tonnes	Grade			Contained Metal		
		Ag g/t	Pb %	Zn %	Ag (Moz)	Pb (t)	Zn (t)
Indicated	2,196,000	127.7	0.51	0.88	9.02	11,200	19,200
Inferred	11,190,000	93.8	0.39	0.83	33.76	43,400	93,200

1. Geological characteristics and +30 ppm grade envelopes used to define resource volumes
2. The mineral resource estimates are in accordance with CIM and JORC standards
3. The effective date of the mineral resource estimates is 15 August, 2008
4. The estimates are based on geostatistical data assessment and computerised IDW<sup>3</sup>, Ag grade wireframe restricted, linear block modelling.

The “Qualified Person” as such term is defined in NI 43-101 who prepared the above mineral resource estimates is Mr. Galen R White. Mr White was at the time these estimates were prepared an employee of A.C.A. Howe International Limited.

The following schedule shows project expenditure during the year:

	Three months ended 31 March	
	2009 \$'000	2008 \$'000
<b>San Jose</b>		
Mining & option rights	273	51
Drilling & exploration	2	-
Geology – logging & sampling	1	-
Administration	1	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>277</b>	<b>51</b>

The above expenditure has been capitalised as an intangible asset.

Additional information in respect of the San Jose Project is contained in a technical report prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited dated 15 August, 2008 and entitled “Resource Estimation Update for the San Jose Silver-Lead-Zinc Deposit, Zacatecas, Mexico”. A copy of this report can be obtained at SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis

### Tepal Project; Michoacán State

The Company has an exclusive option over 100% of the Tepal polymetallic porphyry project in Michoacán State, Mexico. The option agreement is for a five-year term through to June 2011. Assuming the option is exercised in full the Company will pay the vendor, Minera Tepal, \$5 million in instalments. In addition, the Company will grant the vendor a NSR of 2.5% with a right of first refusal to buy out the NSR for an unspecified amount.

The Tepal landholding is approximately 14,000Ha covering six exploitation concessions that contain Au-Cu porphyry style mineralisation that have been explored in the past by Inco, Teck and Hecla. Initial investigations by Arian indicated that the Tepal Project consists of four gossanous polymetallic mineral deposits containing copper, gold and silver with potential for additional areas of mineralisation.

During the period under review there was no material activity in relation to this project.

The current NI 43-101 Resources at Tepal are set out below:

	Tonnes	Grade			Contained Metal		
		Au	Cu	AuEq	Au	Cu	Au Eq
	('000)	g/t	%	g/t	(oz x 000's)	(Mlbs)	(oz x 000's)
Indicated	24,995	0.54	0.27	1.2	440	147.1	938
Inferred	54,964	0.41	0.22	0.9	720	265.4	1,612

- 1 Au = Gold. Cu = Copper. AuEq = Gold Equivalent
- 2 Arian has an exclusive option agreement to purchase 100% of the Tepal properties
- 3 Gold Equivalent Grades (g/t) were calculated using metal prices of US\$600/oz Au, US\$2/lb Cu and assuming 100% recoveries
- 4 The mineral resource estimates are in accordance with CIM and JORC standards
- 5 The effective date of the mineral resource estimates is 24 September, 2008
- 6 The estimates are based on geostatistical data assessment and computerised IDW<sup>3</sup>, 0.18 g/t Au grade wireframe envelope restricted, linear block modelling

The “Qualified Person”, as such term is defined in NI 43-101, who prepared the above mineral resource estimates, is Mr. Galen White. Mr. White was at the time these estimates were prepared an employee of A.C.A. Howe International Limited.

The following schedule shows project expenditure during the year:

	Three months ended	
	31 March	
	2009	2008
Tepal	\$'000	\$'000
Mining & option rights	-	9
Drilling & exploration	-	297
Geology – logging & sampling	-	68
Administration	-	26
Total	-	400

The above expenditure has been capitalised as an intangible asset.

Additional information in respect of the Tepal Project is contained in a technical report prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited dated 24 September, 2008 and entitled “Resource Estimation Update for the Tepal Gold-Copper Prospect, Michoacan, Mexico”. A copy of this report

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis

can be obtained from SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)

### Calicanto Project, Zacatecas District, Zacatecas State

Arian owns the Calicanto Project which consists of seven adjacent mining concessions totalling 75.5Ha, namely: Calicanto, Vicochea I, Vicochea II, Misie 1 and Misie 2, and Missie 1 and Missie 2 properties, collectively known as the “Calicanto Group”. The concessions are located in the historic mining district of Zacatecas. The Calicanto Group of concessions comprises at least four main mineralised vein systems.

During the period under review there was no material activity in relation to this project.

The following schedule shows project expenditure during the year:

	Three months ended	
	31 March	
<b>Calicanto Group: Zacatecas</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>\$'000</b>	<b>\$'000</b>
Mining & option rights	-	1
Drilling & exploration	-	180
Geology – logging & sampling	3	16
Administration	6	10
<b>Total</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>207</b>

The above expenditure has been capitalised as an intangible asset.

Additional information in respect of the Calicanto Project is contained in a technical report prepared by A.C.A. Howe International Limited dated 20 March, 2006 and entitled “Technical Report on the Calicanto and San Celso Projects, Zacatecas, Mexico”. A copy of this report can be obtained from SEDAR on [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com)

### Exploration and development commitments as at 31 March, 2009

The Company does not have any exploration and development expenditure commitments in respect of its projects. However, the following refer to the material payments that will become due under the agreements relating to the San Jose and Tepal properties:

(a) In relation to the San Jose Project as at 31 March, 2009, \$1 million had been paid. The final instalment of \$500,000 is due in December, 2009.

(b) In relation to the Tepal Project the Company is required to pay the vendor \$5 million in instalments through to June 2011. At 31 March, 2009, \$1,300,000 had been paid and the next payment of \$500,000 is due in June 2009.

The Company is able to decline to pay future instalments due under the agreements relating to San Jose and Tepal without financial penalty. However, in the case of Tepal, in the event of failure to pay any future instalment the Company would forfeit all rights to the property.

The outstanding expenditures described above are discretionary and not yet committed as they are dependent on timing and availability of funds.

## Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company has not generated any operating revenues and losses have continued to be incurred throughout the period.

#### *Three months ended 31 March, 2009 compared to three months ended 31 March, 2008*

The loss for the quarter was \$0.5 million (2008 - \$0.9 million). This loss includes the expensing of the fair value of share options vesting of \$16,000 (2008 - \$60,000) and other administrative expenses of \$0.5 million (2008 - \$0.8 million). Expenditure in the quarter has significantly reduced compared to the equivalent quarter last year. The main reductions are in the following areas; salaries and associated overheads, travel and entertainment, investor relations, audit and accountancy, public relations, consultancy fees and advertising. Legal fees have increased compared to last year largely as a result of a share exchange transaction with Grafton (see *Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern*).

### SUMMARY OF QUARTERLY RESULTS

<b>Unaudited</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2008</b>
	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total Revenues	1	11	-	2
Net loss before exceptional items	482	399	1,757	643
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.00)	\$(0.02)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Net loss for the period	481	388	1,757	641
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.00)	\$(0.02)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Total assets	8,198	7,551	8,829	9,750
Shareholders' equity	7,631	7,296	8,192	9,567
Cash dividend declared per share	-	-	-	-

<b>Unaudited</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2007</b>	<b>2007</b>
	1 <sup>st</sup> Quarter	4 <sup>th</sup> Quarter	3 <sup>rd</sup> Quarter	2 <sup>nd</sup> Quarter
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Total Revenues	18	18	16	10
Net loss before exceptional items	921	1,240	1,119	1,553
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Net loss for the period	903	1,178	1,119	1,553
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)	\$(0.01)
Total assets	7,615	8,436	5,937	4,382
Shareholders' equity	7,249	8,100	5,757	3,898
Cash dividend declared per share	-	-	-	-

#### *First quarter 2009 vs. fourth quarter 2008*

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was \$0.1 million higher than for the previous quarter due to increased legal fees in respect of the Grafton transaction. Cash decreased by \$0.6 million to \$0.2 million and intangible assets increased by \$0.2 million mainly due to a property payment made on the San Jose property. The increase in shareholders' equity is largely attributable to the increased share capital as a result of the share exchange transaction with Grafton that took place in the period.



## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

### ***Fourth quarter 2008 vs. third quarter 2008***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was \$1.4 million lower than for the previous quarter due to a reversal of a \$0.6 million foreign exchange charge and a \$0.2 million reduction in expenditure due to a reduction in corporate overheads. Cash increased by £0.6 million to £0.8 million and intangible assets decreased by \$1.4 million. This reduction is due to \$1.6 million foreign exchange adjustment and a change of \$0.1 million in respect of exploration expenses, offset by \$0.3 million incurred in respect of exploration work undertaken in Mexico. The decrease in shareholders’ equity is largely attributable to the loss incurred for the period.

### ***Third quarter 2008 vs. second quarter 2008***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was \$1.1 million higher than for the previous quarter. This was largely due to a \$0.9 million foreign exchange difference (Q3 foreign exchange charge of \$0.6 million compared to a foreign exchange credit of \$0.3 million in Q2) and \$0.2 million for expensing the fair value of share options vesting (Q3 expense of \$0.3 million compared to an expense of \$0.1 million in Q2). Cash decreased by £0.7 million to £0.2 million and intangible assets increased by \$0.3 million in respect of exploration work undertaken in Mexico. The decrease in shareholders’ equity is largely attributable to the loss incurred for the period.

### ***Second quarter 2008 vs. first quarter 2008***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was lower than for the previous quarter largely due to a reduction in the corporate overheads. Cash increased by \$50k to \$900k as a result of the Cdn\$3 million share placement that was completed in the second quarter. Intangible assets increased by \$1.8m due to continued expenditure on exploration work undertaken in Mexico which expenditure included approximately \$1.1m in property option payments. The increase in shareholders’ equity is largely attributable to the increased share capital as a result of the share placement referred to above.

### ***First quarter 2008 vs. fourth quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period was lower than for the previous quarter largely due to lower corporate overheads. Cash decreased by \$2.3 million and intangible assets increased by \$1.3 million due to expenditure in exploration work undertaken in Mexico. The decrease in shareholders’ equity was largely attributable to the loss incurred for the period.

### ***Fourth quarter 2007 vs. third quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss in the period is broadly similar to the previous quarter and results from incurring costs related to corporate overheads and administration of the Company’s projects in Mexico. Intangible assets, representing the cost of exploration in Mexico increased by \$1.2 million compared to \$0.7 million in the third quarter and \$1.0 million in the second quarter. Shareholders’ equity increased by \$2.3 million in the fourth quarter due to share issues from warrant exercises offset by project and administration expenditure.

### ***Third quarter 2007 vs. second quarter 2007***

Revenues were from bank deposit interest. The net loss for the period fell by \$0.4 million over the previous quarter mainly due to a reduction in the cost of expensing the fair value of share options of \$0.6 million, offset by higher administrative costs. Intangible assets, representing the cost of exploration in Mexico, increased by \$0.7 million in the period due to the extensive drilling programmes, compared to expenditure of \$1 million in the second quarter. Shareholders’ equity increased in the third quarter by \$1.9 million in relation to shares issued from a private placement and warrant and option exercises of approximately \$3 million, offset by the loss incurred in the period.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

### **LIQUIDITY, CAPITAL RESOURCES AND GOING CONCERN**

In management’s view, the most meaningful information concerning the Company relates to its current liquidity and solvency since it is not currently generating any income from its mineral projects.

Since the Company is at an early stage of development, it has in the past raised funds in several discrete tranches, which is a common practice for junior mineral exploration companies. Although the Company has been successful in the past in raising equity finance, there can be no assurance that the funding required by the Company will be made available to it when needed or, if such funding were to be available, that it would be offered on reasonable terms. The terms of such financing might not be favourable to the Company and might involve substantial dilution to existing shareholders.

The Company will require additional funding in the future in order to progress exploration programmes on its mineral projects, to meet property payments, for development and for general working capital requirements. Sources of funds currently available to the Company are through the issue of equity capital, the sale of its interests in one or more of its projects, by way of project joint ventures or business combinations.

The Company’s management is continuing to work with the principals of Grafton and their associates on the planned disposal of the 128,591 Grafton participating shares (the “Grafton Shares”). The proposal is to place the Grafton Shares for cash with third parties in order to raise working capital finance for the Company. It is anticipated that the placing of the Grafton Shares will take place in the near future following the listing of Grafton on the Dublin Stock Exchange. The principals of Grafton and their associates have experience in providing funding for junior mining and exploration companies using this type of transaction. The Company is, however, reliant on Grafton being able to replicate this experience to provide the significant new funding sought by the Company by this transaction and on a timely basis.

In May Grafton provided a further advance of \$250,000 to the Company for working capital purposes. This brought the total advanced to the Company by Grafton to \$550,000. These advances are interest free and are intended to be repaid from the proceeds of sale of the Grafton Shares.

The Company has accumulated IVA (sales tax) on past exploration expenditure in Mexico which amounted to \$556,000 at 31 March, 2009. IVA is generally repaid in instalments by the Mexican tax authorities. However, there is no certainty as to the timing of future repayments of this IVA receivable.

Based on current expectations, the directors of the Company believe it appropriate to prepare the Company’s financial statements on a going concern basis. However, if funding from the disposal of the Grafton Shares does not meet current expectations in terms of amount raised and timing the Company may not be able to meet its ongoing working capital and project expenditure requirements. If these circumstances arose then there would be significant doubt on the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern and the carrying value of the Group’s exploration projects would be impaired.

See ***Risks and Uncertainties*** below as well as ***Risks and Uncertainties – Requirement of Additional Financing – Transaction with Grafton Resource Investments Ltd*** in the Company’s 2008 Annual MD&A for details of additional risks associated with future funding of the Company.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

### ***Working Capital – three months ended 31 March, 2009***

As at 31 March, 2009, the Company had working capital of approximately \$0.3 million (31 December, 2008 – \$1.1 million). As detailed in ***Overview of Operations – Exploration and development commitments as at 31 March, 2009*** the Company will need to make a material payment in relation to its Tepal property in June 2009.

The decrease in working capital during the period is as a result of expenditure on project costs and administrative expenses.

The most significant asset at 31 March, 2009 was intangible assets of \$6.2 million (31 December, 2008 – \$6.0 million). In addition, there were tangible assets of \$0.1 million (31 December, 2008 – \$0.1 million), receivables of \$0.6 million (31 December, 2008 – \$0.6 million), available for sale assets of \$1 million (31 December, 2008 – \$nil) and cash of \$0.2 million (31 December, 2008 – \$0.8 million). Payables were \$0.6 million (31 December, 2008 – \$0.3 million) and a short term loan amounted to \$0.3 million (31 December, 2008 – \$nil).

### ***Off-balance sheet arrangements***

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

## **TRANSACTIONS WITH RELATED PARTIES**

During the three months ended 31 March, 2009 and 2008, the Company entered into the following transactions involving related parties:

(a) Companies in the Dragon Group charged the Company a total of \$42,838 (2008 – \$119,645) in respect of the provision of support services and accommodation as well as for reimbursable expenses incurred on behalf of the Company. Tony Williams, Chairman and a director of the Company, beneficially owns the Dragon Group. At 31 March, 2009 \$14,462 (2008 – \$81,339) was outstanding.

(b) Kopane Diamond Developments PLC (“KDD”) charged the Company a total of \$23,316 (2008 – \$8,439) in respect of the reimbursement of a director’s remuneration paid on behalf of the Company as well as the provision of office accommodation and reimbursable expenses. The Company charged KDD \$10,501 (2008 – \$nil) for the provision of accounting support services. James Cable and Tony Williams are Directors of the Company. James Cable is a Director of KDD and Tony Williams is a former Director of KDD. At 31 March, 2009 \$6,317 (2008 – \$nil) was due to KDD and \$10,991 (2008 – \$nil) was due to the Company from KDD.

The Company also entered into the following transactions with subsidiaries:

The Company made loans to Arian Silver de Mexico S.A. de C.V. of \$312,825 (2008 – \$1,404,576).

These transactions, occurring in the normal course of operations, are measured at the exchange amount, which is the amount of consideration established and agreed to by the related parties.

## **PROPOSED TRANSACTIONS**

See ***Liquidity, Capital Resources and Going Concern*** for details of proposed transactions.

## **CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires the Company to select from possible alternative accounting principles and to make estimates and assumptions that determine the reported amount of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet date and reported costs

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

and expenditures during the reporting period. Estimates and assumptions may be revised as new information is obtained and are subject to change. The Company’s accounting policies are considered appropriate in the circumstances, but are subject to judgements and uncertainties inherent in the financial reporting process.

### ***Resource Properties, Deferred Exploration and Development Costs***

All costs related to the exploration of mineral properties are capitalised until either the properties are brought into production, at which time they are depleted on a unit of production basis, or until the properties are sold, or title rights allowed to lapse, or are abandoned or determined not to be commercially viable, at which time they are charged to the income statement.

The amounts capitalised at any time represent costs to be charged to operations in future and do not necessarily reflect the present or future values of particular properties. The recoverability of the carrying values of exploration properties is dependent upon the discovery of economically recoverable reserves, the ability of the Company to obtain necessary financing to complete development and future profitable production therefrom, or alternatively, upon the Company’s ability to dispose of its interests on an advantageous basis.

Management is of the view that the current policy is appropriate for the Company at this time and is consistent with many other public mineral exploration and development companies in the UK and Canada. Shareholders are advised that carrying values are not necessarily indicative of present or future values. The Company assesses whether impairment exists in any of its exploration projects and writes down that project to its estimated recoverable value when such impairment is found to exist. Any write down is recorded as an expense in the Company’s income statement in the financial statements for the relevant period.

### ***Share based payments***

The share option programme allows Group directors, officers, employees and consultants to acquire shares of the Company. The fair value of options granted is recognised as an expense with a corresponding increase in equity. The fair value is measured at the grant date and spread over the period until the options vest unconditionally. The fair value of the options granted is measured using the Black-Scholes model, taking into account the terms and conditions upon which the options were granted. The amount recognised as an expense is adjusted to reflect the actual number of share options that vest, except if the change is due to market based conditions not being satisfied.

## **RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES**

The operations of the Company are speculative due to the high-risk nature of its business, which is the acquisition, financing, exploration and development of mining properties. The risks below are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks not currently known to the Company, or that the Company currently deems trivial, may also impair the Company’s operations. If any of the following risks actually incur, the Company’s business, financial condition and operating results could be adversely affected.

### **No assurance can be given that additional capital will be available at all or available on terms acceptable to the Company**

The Company will require additional financing for working capital and for any future exploration of its projects. Failure to obtain such financing may result in a suspension of, or delay or reduction in, the exploration and development of the Company’s projects and/or even a loss of one or more property interests. The only sources of funds currently available to the Company are through the issue of equity capital, the sale of its interests in one or more of its projects, or by way of joint ventures or business combinations.

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management's Discussion and Analysis**

There can be no assurance that the funding required by the Company will be made available to it when needed or, if such funding were to be available, that it would be offered on reasonable terms. The terms of such financing might not be favourable to the Company and might involve substantial dilution to existing shareholders. If the Company is unable to raise such financing, it may not be able to meet its ongoing working-capital and other capital and/or exploration expenditure commitments, which may have a material adverse effect on the Company.

**Risk factors, including those regarding resources, reserves, production, requirement of additional financing and currency risks have been detailed in the Company's 2008 Annual MD&A. Such risks have not changed during the reporting period of 2009.**

The Company is subject to a number of risk factors due to the nature of the mining business in which it is engaged, not least adverse are movements in commodity prices, which are impossible to forecast. The Company seeks to counter this risk, as far as possible, by selecting exploration areas on the basis of their recognised geological potential to host economic deposits.

### ***Forward Looking Statements***

This MD&A contains certain "forward-looking statements". All statements, other than statements of historical fact, that address activities, events or developments that the Company believes, expects or anticipates will or may occur in the future (including, without limitation, funding from the disposal of the Grafton shares or from other sources, the mineral resource estimates contained in this MD&A, statements regarding exploration results, potential mineralisation, potential mineral resources, future production and the Company's exploration and development plans and objectives) are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements reflect the current expectations or beliefs of the Company based on information currently available to the Company. Forward-looking statements are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that may cause the actual results of the Company to differ materially from those discussed in the forward-looking statements, and even if such actual results are realised or substantially realised, there can be no assurance that they will have the expected consequences to, or effects on the Company. Factors that could cause actual results or events to differ materially from current expectations include, among other things, failure to establish estimated mineral reserves, the possibility that future exploration results will not be consistent with the Company's expectations, uncertainties relating to the availability and costs of financing needed in the future, the possibility that the placing of the Grafton shares held by the Company will not be sold on a timely basis and/or that such placement will not generate sufficient funds for the Company to meet its ongoing obligations, changes in commodity prices, changes in equity markets, political developments in Mexico, changes to regulations affecting the Company's activities, delays in obtaining or failures to obtain required regulatory approvals, the uncertainties involved in interpreting exploration results and other geological data, and the other risks involved in the mineral exploration and development industry. Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which it is made and, except as may be required by applicable securities laws, the Company disclaims any intent or obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or results or otherwise. Although the Company believes that the assumptions inherent in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and accordingly undue reliance should not be put on such statements due to the inherent uncertainty therein.

The mineral resource figures disclosed in this MD&A are estimates and no assurances can be given that the indicated levels of minerals will be produced. Such estimates are expressions of judgment based on knowledge, mining experience, analysis of drilling results and industry practices. Valid estimates made at a given time may significantly change when new information becomes available. While the Company believes that the resource estimates included in this MD&A are well established, by their nature resource estimates are imprecise and depend, to a certain extent, upon statistical inferences, which may ultimately prove unreliable. If such

## **Arian Silver Corporation – Management’s Discussion and Analysis**

estimates are inaccurate or are reduced in the future, this could have a material adverse impact on the Company.

Mineral resources are not mineral reserves and do not have demonstrated economic viability. There is no certainty that mineral resources can be upgraded to mineral reserves through continued exploration.

### **OTHER INFORMATION**

#### ***Additional Information***

Additional information relating to the Company may be accessed through SEDAR on the internet at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or the Company’s website on [www.ariansilver.com](http://www.ariansilver.com).

#### ***Disclosure of Outstanding Share Data***

The following table sets forth information concerning the outstanding securities of the Company as at 25 May, 2009:

	<b>Number in issue</b>
Common shares of no par value	258,142,878
Share options	6,950,000
Share purchase warrants	8,773,250

Each share option and share purchase warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one common share of the Company.